U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Washington, DC 20472

FEMA

The Honorable Lloyd L. Lane
Freestone County Judge,
118 East Commerce Street, Room 205
Fairfield, TX 75840

IN REPLY REFER TO: 179-R:
Community No.: 480822
Community: Freestone County, Texas (Unincorporated Areas)

Dear Judge Lane:

Here is the revised Flood Insurance Rate Map and Flood Insurance Study for your community. Please replace any materials you have with the new ones.

Digital copies are posted at:

https://msc.fema.gov

For questions about mapping, please call our FEMA Mapping and Insurance eXchange (FMIX), toll free:

877-336-2627 (877-FEMA MAP)

Or e-mail FMIX: FEMA-FMIX@fema.dhs.gov.

FILED FOR RECORD
At _____,o'clock____M

MAR 18 2026

RENEE GREGORY
Clerk County Court, Freestone County, Texas
By_____

Sincerely,

David N. Bascom

David N. Bascom, Acting Director
Engineering and Modeling Division
National Flood Insurance Program, Resilience


COMMISSIONERS COURT
FREESTONE COUNTY, TEXAS

Enclosure(s)

Map Effective Date: June 10, 2026

FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY
FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

VOLUME 1 of 1



FREESTONE COUNTY, TEXAS
AND INCORPORATED AREAS

COMMUNITY NAME	COMMUNITY NUMBER
FAIRFIELD, CITY OF	480823
FREESTONE COUNTY, UNINCORPORATED AREAS	480822
KIRVIN, TOWN OF *	480824
OAKWOOD, CITY OF	480437
STREETMAN, CITY OF	480825
TEAGUE, CITY OF	480236
WORTHAM, CITY OF	480826

*No Special Flood Hazard Areas Identified

FEMA

EFFECTIVE:
6/10/2026

FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY NUMBER
48161CV000A
Version Number **2.8.5.6**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Volume 1

		Page
SECTION 1.0 – INTRODUCTION		**1**
1.1	The National Flood Insurance Program	1
1.2	Purpose of this Flood Insurance Study Report	2
1.3	Jurisdictions Included in the Flood Insurance Study Project	2
1.4	Considerations for using this Flood Insurance Study Report	3
SECTION 2.0 – FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT APPLICATIONS		**13**
2.1	Floodplain Boundaries	13
2.2	Floodways	15
2.3	Base Flood Elevations	16
2.4	Non-Encroachment Zones	17
2.5	Coastal Flood Hazard Areas	17
2.5.1	Water Elevations and the Effects of Waves	17
2.5.2	Floodplain Boundaries and BFEs for Coastal Areas	17
2.5.3	Coastal High Hazard Areas	17
2.5.4	Limit of Moderate Wave Action	17
SECTION 3.0 – INSURANCE APPLICATIONS		**17**
3.1	National Flood Insurance Program Insurance Zones	17
SECTION 4.0 – AREA STUDIED		**18**
4.1	Basin Description	18
4.2	Principal Flood Problems	18
4.3	Dams and Other Flood Hazard Reduction Measures	19
4.4	Levee Systems	19
SECTION 5.0 – ENGINEERING METHODS		**20**
5.1	Hydrologic Analyses	20
5.2	Hydraulic Analyses	22
5.3	Coastal Analyses	23
5.3.1	Total Stillwater Elevations	23
5.3.2	Waves	23
5.3.3	Coastal Erosion	23
5.3.4	Wave Hazard Analyses	24
5.4	Alluvial Fan Analyses	25
SECTION 6.0 – MAPPING METHODS		**26**
6.1	Vertical and Horizontal Control	26
6.2	Base Map	26
6.3	Floodplain and Floodway Delineation	27
6.4	Coastal Flood Hazard Mapping	29
6.5	FIRM Revisions	29

6.5.1 Letters of Map Amendment 29
6.5.2 Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill 30
6.5.3 Letters of Map Revision 30
6.5.4 Physical Map Revisions 30
6.5.5 Contracted Restudies 31
6.5.6 Community Map History 31

SECTION 7.0 – CONTRACTED STUDIES AND COMMUNITY COORDINATION 32
7.1 Contracted Studies 32
7.2 Community Meetings 33

SECTION 8.0 – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION 35

SECTION 9.0 – BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES 36

Figures

Page

Figure 1: FIRM Index 5
Figure 2: FIRM Notes to Users 6
Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM 9
Figure 4: Floodway Schematic 16
Figure 5: Wave Runup Transect Schematic 17
Figure 6: Coastal Transect Schematic 17
Figure 7: Frequency Discharge-Drainage Area Curves 21
Figure 8: 1% Annual Chance Total Stillwater Elevations for Coastal Areas 23
Figure 9: Transect Location Map 25

Tables

Page

Table 1: Listing of NFIP Jurisdictions 3
Table 2: Flooding Sources Included in this FIS Report 14
Table 3: Flood Zone Designations by Community 18
Table 4: Basin Characteristics 18
Table 5: Principal Flood Problems 18
Table 6: Historic Flooding Elevations 19
Table 7: Dams and Other Flood Hazard Reduction Measures 19
Table 8: Levee Systems 19
Table 9: Summary of Discharges 21
Table 10: Summary of Non-Coastal Stillwater Elevation 21
Table 11: Stream Gage Information used to Determine Discharges 21
Table 12: Summary of Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analyses 23
Table 13: Roughness Coefficients 23
Table 14: Summary of Coastal Analyses 23

Table 15: Tide Gage Analysis Specifics 23
Table 16: Coastal Transect Parameters 24
Table 17: Summary of Alluvial Fan Analyses 25
Table 18: Results of Alluvial Fan Analyses 25
Table 19: Countywide Vertical Datum Conversion 26
Table 20: Stream-Based Vertical Datum Conversion 26
Table 21: Base Map Sources 27
Table 22: Summary of Topographic Elevation Data used in Mapping 28
Table 23: Floodway Data 29
Table 24: Flood Hazard and Non-Encroachment Data for Selected Streams 29
Table 25: Summary of Coastal Transect Mapping Considerations 29
Table 26: Incorporated Letters of Map Change 30
Table 27: Community Map History 32
Table 28: Summary of Contracted Studies Included in this FIS Report 33
Table 29: Community Meetings 34
Table 30: Map Repositories 35
Table 31: Additional Information 36
Table 32: Bibliography and References 37

Published Separately

Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM)

FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY REPORT
FREESTONE COUNTY, TEXAS

SECTION 1.0 – INTRODUCTION

1.1 The National Flood Insurance Program

The National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) is a voluntary Federal program that enables property owners in participating communities to purchase insurance protection against losses from flooding. This insurance is designed to provide an alternative to disaster assistance to meet the escalating costs of repairing damage to buildings and their contents caused by floods.

For decades, the national response to flood disasters was generally limited to constructing flood-control works such as dams, levees, sea-walls, and the like, and providing disaster relief to flood victims. This approach did not reduce losses nor did it discourage unwise development. In some instances, it may have actually encouraged additional development. To compound the problem, the public generally could not buy flood coverage from insurance companies, and building techniques to reduce flood damage were often overlooked.

In the face of mounting flood losses and escalating costs of disaster relief to the general taxpayers, the U.S. Congress created the NFIP. The intent was to reduce future flood damage through community floodplain management ordinances, and provide protection for property owners against potential losses through an insurance mechanism that requires a premium to be paid for the protection.

The U.S. Congress established the NFIP on August 1, 1968, with the passage of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968. The NFIP was broadened and modified with the passage of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and other legislative measures. It was further modified by the National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 and the Flood Insurance Reform Act of 2004. The NFIP is administered by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), which is a component of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

Participation in the NFIP is based on an agreement between local communities and the Federal Government. If a community adopts and enforces floodplain management regulations to reduce future flood risks to new construction and substantially improved structures in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs), the Federal Government will make flood insurance available within the community as a financial protection against flood losses. The community's floodplain management regulations must meet or exceed criteria established in accordance with Title 44 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 60, *Criteria for Land Management and Use*.

SFHAs are delineated on the community's Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). Under the NFIP, buildings that were built before the flood hazard was identified on the community's FIRMs are generally referred to as "Pre-FIRM" buildings. When the NFIP was created, the U.S. Congress recognized that insurance for Pre-FIRM buildings would be prohibitively expensive if the premiums were not subsidized by the Federal Government. Congress also recognized that most of these flood prone buildings were

built by individuals who did not have sufficient knowledge of the flood hazard to make informed decisions. The NFIP requires that full actuarial rates reflecting the complete flood risk be charged on all buildings constructed or substantially improved on or after the effective date of the initial FIRM for the community or after December 31, 1974, whichever is later. These buildings are generally referred to as "Post-FIRM" buildings.

1.2 Purpose of this Flood Insurance Study Report

This Flood Insurance Study (FIS) Report revises and updates information on the existence and severity of flood hazards for the study area. The studies described in this report developed flood hazard data to assist communities in efforts to implement sound floodplain management.

In some states or communities, floodplain management criteria or regulations may exist that are more restrictive than the minimum Federal requirements. Contact your State NFIP Coordinator to ensure that any higher State standards are included in the community's regulations.

1.3 Jurisdictions Included in the Flood Insurance Study Project

This FIS Report covers the entire geographic area of Freestone County, Texas.

The jurisdictions that are included in this project area, along with the Community Identification Number (CID) for each community and the United States Geological Survey (USGS) 8-digit Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC-8) sub-basins affecting each, are shown in Table 1. The FIRM panel numbers that affect each community are listed. If the flood hazard data for the community is not included in this FIS Report, the location of that data is identified.

The location of flood hazard data for participating communities in multiple jurisdictions is also indicated in the table.

Jurisdictions that have no identified SFHAs as of the effective date of this study are indicated in the table. Changed conditions in these communities (such as urbanization or annexation) or the availability of new scientific or technical data about flood hazards could make it necessary to determine SFHAs in these jurisdictions in the future.

Table 1: Listing of NFIP Jurisdictions

Community	CID	HUC-8 Sub-Basin(s)	Located on FIRM Panel(s)	If Not Included, Location of Flood Hazard Data
Fairfield, City of	480823	12030201	48161C0335C	
Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas	480822	12030201, 12030105,12030108, 12070103	48161C0025C 48161C0050C 48161C0075C 48161C0100C 4816C10125C 48161C0140C 48161C0150C 48161C0175C 48161C0200C 48161C0225C 48161C0250C 48161C0275C 48161C0300C 48161C0325C 48161C0335C 48161C0350C 48161C0375C 48161C0400C 48161C0425C 48161C0450C[2] 48161C0475C 48161C0500C 48161C0525C 48161C0550C 48161C0575C 48161C0600C 48161C0625C 48161C0650C	
Kirvin, Town of [1]	480824	12030201	48161C0175C	
Oakwood, City of	480437	12030201	48161C0575C	
Streetman, City of	480825	12030201	48161C0050C 48161C0175C	
Teague, City of	480236	12030201 12070103	48161C0325C 48161C0475C	
Wortham, City of	480826	12030201	48161C0140C 48161C0150C	

[1] No Special Flood Hazard Areas Identified
[2] Panels Not Printed

1.4 Considerations for using this Flood Insurance Study Report

The NFIP encourages State and local governments to implement sound floodplain management programs. To assist in this endeavor, each FIS Report provides floodplain

data, which may include a combination of the following: 10-, 4-, 2-, 1-, and 0.2-percent annual chance flood elevations (the 1-percent-annual-chance flood elevation is also referred to as the Base Flood Elevation (BFE)); delineations of the 1-percent-annual-chance and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplains; and 1-percent-annual-chance floodway. This information is presented on the FIRM and/or in many components of the FIS Report, including Flood Profiles, Floodway Data tables, Summary of Non-Coastal Stillwater Elevations tables, and Coastal Transect Parameters tables (not all components may be provided for a specific FIS).

This section presents important considerations for using the information contained in this FIS Report and the FIRM, including changes in format and content. Figures 1, 2, and 3 present information that applies to using the FIRM with the FIS Report.

- Part or all of this FIS Report may be revised and republished at any time. In addition, part of this FIS Report may be revised by a Letter of Map Revision (LOMR), which does not involve republication or redistribution of the FIS Report. Refer to Section 6.5 of this FIS Report for information about the process to revise the FIS Report and/or FIRM.

  It is, therefore, the responsibility of the user to consult with community officials by contacting the community repository to obtain the most current FIS Report components. Communities participating in the NFIP have established repositories of flood hazard data for floodplain management and flood insurance purposes. Community map repository addresses are provided in Table 30, "Map Repositories," within this FIS Report.

- New FIS Reports are frequently developed for multiple communities, such as entire counties. A countywide FIS Report incorporates previous FIS Reports for individual communities and the unincorporated area of the county (if not jurisdictional) into a single document and supersedes those documents for the purposes of the NFIP.


  The initial Countywide FIS Report for Freestone County became effective on 06/10/2026. Refer to Table 27 for information about subsequent revisions to the FIRMs.

- The U.S. Survey Foot was deprecated on December 31, 2022, in favor of the International Foot (referred to as foot). It may still be necessary to use U.S. Survey Feet for legacy data or for new data collected in locations which have not yet adopted the International Foot convention. The U.S. Survey Foot will not be supported in the modernized National Spatial Reference System (NSRS).

- FEMA has developed a *Guide to Flood Maps* (FEMA 258) and online tutorials to assist users in accessing the information contained on the FIRM. These include how to read panels and step-by-step instructions to obtain specific information. To obtain this guide and other assistance in using the FIRM, visit the FEMA Web site at www.fema.gov/flood-maps/tutorials.

The FIRM Index in Figure 1 shows the overall FIRM panel layout within Freestone County, and also displays the panel number and effective date for each FIRM panel in the county. Other information shown on the FIRM Index includes community boundaries, flooding sources, watershed boundaries, and USGS HUC-8 codes.

Figure 1: FIRM Index



N

1 inch = 26,761 feet 1:321,137

0 10,000 20,000 40,000 60,000 feet

Map Projection:
NAD 1983 StatePlane Texas Central FIPS 4203 Feet
Vertical Datum: NAVD88

THE INFORMATION DEPICTED ON THIS MAP AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION ARE ALSO AVAILABLE IN DIGITAL FORMAT AT

HTTPS://MSC.FEMA.GOV

SEE FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

* PANEL NOT PRINTED - NO SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREA

NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM

FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP INDEX

FREESTONE COUNTY, TEXAS, AND INCORPORATED AREAS

PANELS PRINTED:

0025, 0050, 0075, 0100, 0125, 0140, 0150, 0175, 0200, 0225, 0250, 0275, 0300, 0325, 0335, 0350, 0375, 0400, 0425, 0475, 0500, 0525, 0550, 0575, 0600, 0625, 0650

FEMA

MAP NUMBER
48161CIND0B

EFFECTIVE DATE
June 10, 2026

Each FIRM panel may contain specific notes to the user that provide additional information regarding the flood hazard data shown on that map. However, the FIRM panel does not contain enough space to show all the notes that may be relevant in helping to better understand the information on the panel. Figure 2 contains the full list of these notes.

Figure 2: FIRM Notes to Users

NOTES TO USERS

For information and questions about this Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), available products associated with this FIRM including historic versions of this FIRM, how to order products, or the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call the FEMA Mapping and Insurance eXchange at 1-877-FEMA-MAP (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA Flood Map Service Center website at msc.fema.gov. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. Many of these products can be ordered or obtained directly from the website. Users may determine the current map date for each FIRM panel by visiting the FEMA Flood Map Service Center website or by calling the FEMA Mapping and Insurance eXchange.

Communities annexing land on adjacent FIRM panels must obtain a current copy of the adjacent panel as well as the current FIRM Index. These may be ordered directly from the Flood Map Service Center at the number listed above.

For community and countywide map dates, refer to Table 27 in this FIS Report.

To determine if flood insurance is available in the community, contact your insurance agent or call the National Flood Insurance Program at 1-800-638-6620.

The map is for use in administering the NFIP. It may not identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. Consult the community map repository to find updated or additional flood hazard information.

BASE FLOOD ELEVATIONS: For more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) and/or floodways have been determined, consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Non-Coastal Stillwater Elevations tables within this FIS Report. Use the flood elevation data within the FIS Report in conjunction with the FIRM for construction and/or floodplain management.

Figure 2. FIRM Notes to Users

FLOODWAY INFORMATION: Boundaries of the floodways were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the FIS Report for this jurisdiction.

FLOOD CONTROL STRUCTURE INFORMATION: Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may have reduced flood hazards due to flood control structures. Refer to Section 4.3 "Dams and Other Flood Hazard Reduction Measures" of this FIS Report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

PROJECTION INFORMATION: The projection used in the preparation of the map was State Plane Lambert Conformal Conic, Texas Central Zone 4203. The horizontal datum was the North American Datum of 1983 NAD83, GRS1980 spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or State Plane zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of the FIRM.

ELEVATION DATUM: Flood elevations on the FIRM are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at www.ngs.noaa.gov.

Local vertical monuments may have been used to create the map. To obtain current monument information, please contact the appropriate local community listed in Table 30 of this FIS Report.

BASE MAP INFORMATION: Basemap information shown on the FIRM was provided in digital format by the United States Geological Survey (USGS). The basemap shown is the USGA Nation Map: Orthoimagery. Last refreshed October, 2020. For information about base maps, refer to Section 6.2 "Base Map" in this FIS Report

The map reflects more detailed and up-to-date stream channel configurations than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables may reflect stream channel distances that differ from what is shown on the map.

Corporate limits shown on the map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after the map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

NOTES FOR FIRM INDEX

REVISIONS TO INDEX: As new studies are performed and FIRM panels are updated within Freestone County, Texas, corresponding revisions to the FIRM Index will be incorporated within the FIS Report to reflect the effective dates of those panels. Please refer to Table 27 of this FIS Report to determine the most recent FIRM revision date for each community. The most recent FIRM panel effective date will correspond to the most recent index date.

Each FIRM panel contains an abbreviated legend for the features shown on the maps. However, the FIRM panel does not contain enough space to show the legend for all map features. Figure 3 shows the full legend of all map features. Note that not all of these features may appear on the FIRM panels in Freestone County.

Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM

SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS: The 1% annual chance flood, also known as the base flood or 100-year flood, has a 1% chance of happening or being exceeded each year. Special Flood Hazard Areas are subject to flooding by the 1% annual chance flood. The Base Flood Elevation is the water surface elevation of the 1% annual chance flood. The floodway is the channel of a stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be kept free of encroachment so that the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without substantial increases in flood heights. See note for specific types. If the floodway is too narrow to be shown, a note is shown.

Special Flood Hazard Areas subject to inundation by the 1% annual chance flood (Zones A, AE, AH, AO, AR, A99, V and VE)

Zone A — The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance floodplains. No base (1% annual chance) flood elevations (BFEs) or depths are shown within this zone.

Zone AE — The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance floodplains. Base flood elevations derived from the hydraulic analyses are shown within this zone.

Zone AH — The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the areas of 1% annual chance shallow flooding (usually areas of ponding) where average depths are between 1 and 3 feet. Whole-foot BFEs derived from the hydraulic analyses are shown at selected intervals within this zone.

Zone AO — The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the areas of 1% annual chance shallow flooding (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain) where average depths are between 1 and 3 feet. Average whole-foot depths derived from the hydraulic analyses are shown within this zone.

Zone AR — The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to areas that were formerly protected from the 1% annual chance flood by a flood control system that was subsequently decertified. Zone AR indicates that the former flood control system is being restored to provide protection from the 1% annual chance or greater flood.

Zone A99 — The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to areas of the 1% annual chance floodplain that will be protected by a Federal flood protection system where construction has reached specified statutory milestones. No base flood elevations or flood depths are shown within this zone.

Zone V — The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance coastal floodplains that have additional hazards associated with storm waves. Base flood elevations are not shown within this zone.

Zone VE — Zone VE is the flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance coastal floodplains that have additional hazards associated with storm waves. Base flood elevations derived from the coastal analyses are shown within this zone as static whole-foot elevations that apply throughout the zone.

Regulatory Floodway determined in Zone AE.

Each FIRM panel contains an abbreviated legend for the features shown on the maps. However, the FIRM panel does not contain enough space to show the legend for all map features. Figure 3 shows the full legend of all map features. Note that not all of these features may appear on the FIRM panels in Freestone County.

Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM

SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS: The 1% annual chance flood, also known as the base flood or 100-year flood, has a 1% chance of happening or being exceeded each year. Special Flood Hazard Areas are subject to flooding by the 1% annual chance flood. The Base Flood Elevation is the water surface elevation of the 1% annual chance flood. The floodway is the channel of a stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be kept free of encroachment so that the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without substantial increases in flood heights. See note for specific types. If the floodway is too narrow to be shown, a note is shown.

Special Flood Hazard Areas subject to inundation by the 1% annual chance flood (Zones A, AE, AH, AO, AR, A99, V and VE)

Zone A — The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance floodplains. No base (1% annual chance) flood elevations (BFEs) or depths are shown within this zone.

Zone AE — The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance floodplains. Base flood elevations derived from the hydraulic analyses are shown within this zone.

Zone AH — The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the areas of 1% annual chance shallow flooding (usually areas of ponding) where average depths are between 1 and 3 feet. Whole-foot BFEs derived from the hydraulic analyses are shown at selected intervals within this zone.

Zone AO — The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the areas of 1% annual chance shallow flooding (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain) where average depths are between 1 and 3 feet. Average whole-foot depths derived from the hydraulic analyses are shown within this zone.

Zone AR — The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to areas that were formerly protected from the 1% annual chance flood by a flood control system that was subsequently decertified. Zone AR indicates that the former flood control system is being restored to provide protection from the 1% annual chance or greater flood.

Zone A99 — The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to areas of the 1% annual chance floodplain that will be protected by a Federal flood protection system where construction has reached specified statutory milestones. No base flood elevations or flood depths are shown within this zone.

Zone V — The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance coastal floodplains that have additional hazards associated with storm waves. Base flood elevations are not shown within this zone.

Zone VE — Zone VE is the flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance coastal floodplains that have additional hazards associated with storm waves. Base flood elevations derived from the coastal analyses are shown within this zone as static whole-foot elevations that apply throughout the zone.

Regulatory Floodway determined in Zone AE.

Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM

OTHER AREAS OF FLOOD HAZARD

Shaded Zone X: Areas of 0.2% annual chance flood hazards and areas of 1% annual chance flood hazards with average depths of less than 1 foot or with drainage areas less than 1 square mile.

Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard – Zone X: The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance floodplains that are determined based on future-conditions hydrology. No base flood elevations or flood depths are shown within this zone.

Area with Reduced Flood Hazard due to Accredited or Provisionally Accredited Levee System: Area is shown as reduced flood hazard from the 1-percent-annual-chance or greater flood by a levee system. Overtopping or failure of any levee system is possible.

Area with Undetermined Flood Hazard due to Non-Accredited Levee System: Analysis and mapping procedures for non-accredited levee systems were applied resulting in a flood insurance rate zone where flood hazards are undetermined, but possible.

OTHER AREAS

Zone D (Areas of Undetermined Flood Hazard): The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to unstudied areas where flood hazards are undetermined, but possible.

NO SCREEN

Unshaded Zone X: Areas of minimal flood hazard.

FLOOD HAZARD AND OTHER BOUNDARY LINES

(ortho) (vector)

Flood Zone Boundary (white line on ortho-photography-based mapping; gray line on vector-based mapping)

Limit of Study

Jurisdiction Boundary

Limit of Moderate Wave Action (LiMWA): Indicates the inland limit of the area affected by waves greater than 1.5 feet

GENERAL STRUCTURES

Aqueduct
Channel
Culvert
Storm Sewer

Channel, Culvert, Aqueduct, or Storm Sewer

Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM

Dam *Jetty* *Weir*	Dam, Jetty, Weir
	Levee, Dike, or Floodwall
Bridge	Bridge
REFERENCE MARKERS	
22.0	River mile Markers
CROSS SECTION & TRANSECT INFORMATION	
B 20.2	Lettered Cross Section with Regulatory Water Surface Elevation (BFE)
5280 21.1	Numbered Cross Section with Regulatory Water Surface Elevation (BFE)
17.5	Unlettered Cross Section with Regulatory Water Surface Elevation (BFE)
8	Coastal Transect
	Profile Baseline: Indicates the modeled flow path of a stream and is shown on FIRM panels for all valid studies with profiles or otherwise established base flood elevation.
	Coastal Transect Baseline: Used in the coastal flood hazard model to represent the 0.0-foot elevation contour and the starting point for the transect and the measuring point for the coastal mapping.
513	Base Flood Elevation Line
ZONE AE **(EL 16)**	Static Base Flood Elevation value (shown under zone label)
ZONE AO **(DEPTH 2)**	Zone designation with Depth
ZONE AO **(DEPTH 2)** **(VEL 15 FPS)**	Zone designation with Depth and Velocity

Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM

BASE MAP FEATURES	
Missouri Creek	River, Stream or Other Hydrographic Feature
234	Interstate Highway
234	U.S. Highway
234	State Highway
234	County Highway
MAPLE LANE	Street, Road, Avenue Name, or Private Drive if shown on Flood Profile
RAILROAD	Railroad
	Horizontal Reference Grid Line
	Horizontal Reference Grid Ticks
+	Secondary Grid Crosshairs
Land Grant	Name of Land Grant
7	Section Number
R. 43 W. T. 22 N.	Range, Township Number
$^{42}76^{000m}$E	Horizontal Reference Grid Coordinates (UTM)
365000 FT	Horizontal Reference Grid Coordinates (State Plane)
80° 16' 52.5"	Corner Coordinates (Latitude, Longitude)

SECTION 2.0 – FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT APPLICATIONS

2.1 Floodplain Boundaries

To provide a national standard without regional discrimination, the 1-percent-annual-chance (100-year) flood has been adopted by FEMA as the base flood for floodplain management purposes. The 0.2-percent-annual-chance (500-year) flood is employed to indicate additional areas of flood hazard in the community.

Each flooding source included in the project scope has been studied and mapped using professional engineering and mapping methodologies that were agreed upon by FEMA and Freestone County as appropriate to the risk level. Flood risk is evaluated based on factors such as known flood hazards and projected impact on the built environment. Engineering analyses were performed for each studied flooding source to calculate its 1-percent-annual-chance flood elevations; elevations corresponding to other floods (e.g. 10-, 4-, 2-, 0.2-percent annual chance, etc.) may have also been computed for certain flooding sources. Engineering models and methods are described in detail in Section 5.0 of this FIS Report. The modeled elevations at cross sections were used to delineate the floodplain boundaries on the FIRM; between cross sections, the boundaries were interpolated using elevation data from various sources. More information on specific mapping methods is provided in Section 6.0 of this FIS Report.

Depending on the accuracy of available topographic data (Table 22), study methodologies employed (Section 5.0), and flood risk, certain flooding sources may be mapped to show both the 1-percent and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries, regulatory water surface elevations (BFEs), and/or a regulatory floodway. Similarly, other flooding sources may be mapped to show only the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundary on the FIRM, without published water surface elevations. In cases where the 1-percent and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries are close together, only the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundary is shown on the FIRM. Figure 3, "Map Legend for FIRM", describes the flood zones that are used on the FIRMs to account for the varying levels of flood risk that exist along flooding sources within the project area. Table 2 and Table 3 indicate the flood zone designations for each flooding source and each community within Freestone County, respectively.

Table 2, "Flooding Sources Included in this FIS Report," lists each flooding source, including its study limits, affected communities, mapped zone on the FIRM, and the completion date of its engineering analysis from which the flood elevations on the FIRM and in the FIS Report were derived. Descriptions and dates for the latest hydrologic and hydraulic analyses of the flooding sources are shown in Table 12. Floodplain boundaries for these flooding sources are shown on the FIRM (published separately) using the symbology described in Figure 3. On the map, the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain corresponds to the SFHAs. The 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain shows areas that, although out of the regulatory floodplain, are still subject to flood hazards.

Small areas within the floodplain boundaries may lie above the flood elevations but cannot be shown due to limitations of the map scale and/or lack of detailed topographic data. The procedures to remove these areas from the SFHA are described in Section 6.5 of this FIS Report.

Table 2: Flooding Sources Included in this FIS Report

Flooding Source	Community	Downstream Limit	Upstream Limit	HUC-8 Sub-Basin(s)	Length (mi) (streams or coastlines)	Area (mi^2) (estuaries or ponding)	Floodway (Y/N)	Zone shown on FIRM	Date of Analysis
All Zone A within Lower Trinity-Tehuacana	Fairfield, City of; Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas; Oakwood, City of; Streetman, City of; Teague, City of; Wortham, City of;	All within Lower Trinity-Tehuacana Watershed	All within Lower Trinity-Tehuacana Watershed	12030201	1,228	N/A	N	A	2021
All Zone A within Navasota	Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas; Teague, City of	All within Navasota Watershed	All within Navasota Watershed	12070103	160	N/A	N	A	2021
All Zone A within Richland	Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas	All within Richland Watershed	All within Richland Watershed	12030108	55	N/A	N	A	2017
All Zone A within Upper Trinity	Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas	All within Upper Trinity Watershed	All within Upper Trinity Watershed	12030105	151	N/A	N	A	2022


2.2 Floodways

Encroachment on floodplains, such as structures and fill, reduces flood-carrying capacity, increases flood heights and velocities, and increases flood hazards in areas beyond the encroachment itself. One aspect of floodplain management involves balancing the economic gain from floodplain development against the resulting increase in flood hazard.

For purposes of the NFIP, a floodway is used as a tool to assist local communities in balancing floodplain development against increasing flood hazard. With this approach, the area of the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain on a river is divided into a floodway and a floodway fringe based on hydraulic modeling. The floodway is the channel of a stream, plus any adjacent floodplain areas, that must be kept free of encroachment in order to carry the 1-percent-annual-chance flood. The floodway fringe is the area between the floodway and the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries where encroachment is permitted. The floodway must be wide enough so that the floodway fringe could be completely obstructed without increasing the water surface elevation of the 1-percent-annual-chance flood more than 1 foot at any point. Typical relationships between the floodway and the floodway fringe and their significance to floodplain development are shown in Figure 4.

To participate in the NFIP, Federal regulations require communities to limit increases caused by encroachment to 1.0 foot, provided that hazardous velocities are not produced. The floodways in this project are presented to local agencies as minimum standards that can be adopted directly or that can be used as a basis for additional floodway projects.

Figure 4: Floodway Schematic



2.3 Base Flood Elevations

The hydraulic characteristics of flooding sources were analyzed to provide estimates of the elevations of floods of the selected recurrence intervals. The BFE is the elevation of the 1-percent-annual-chance flood. These BFEs are most commonly rounded to the whole foot, as shown on the FIRM, but in certain circumstances or locations they may be rounded to 0.1 foot. Cross section lines shown on the FIRM may also be labeled with the BFE rounded to 0.1 foot. Whole-foot BFEs derived from engineering analyses that apply to coastal areas, areas of ponding, or other static areas with little elevation change may also be shown at selected intervals on the FIRM.

Cross sections with BFEs shown on the FIRM correspond to the cross sections shown in the Floodway Data table and Flood Profiles in this FIS Report. For construction and/or floodplain management purposes, users are cautioned to use the flood elevation data presented in this FIS Report in conjunction with the data shown on the FIRM. For example, the user may use the FIRM to determine the stream station of a location of interest and then use the profile to determine the 1-percent annual chance elevation at that location. Because only selected cross sections may be shown on the FIRM for riverine areas, the profile should be used to obtain the flood elevation between mapped cross sections. Additionally, for riverine areas, whole-foot elevations shown on the FIRM may not exactly reflect the elevations derived from the hydraulic analyses; therefore, elevations obtained from the profile may more accurately reflect the results of the hydraulic analysis.

2.4 Non-Encroachment Zones

This section is not applicable to this Flood risk Project

2.5 Coastal Flood Hazard Areas

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

2.5.1 Water Elevations and the Effects of Waves

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

Figure 5: Wave Runup Transect Schematic

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

2.5.2 Floodplain Boundaries and BFEs for Coastal Areas

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

2.5.3 Coastal High Hazard Areas

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

Figure 6: Coastal Transect Schematic

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

2.5.4 Limit of Moderate Wave Action

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

SECTION 3.0 – INSURANCE APPLICATIONS

3.1 National Flood Insurance Program Insurance Zones

For flood insurance applications, the FIRM designates flood insurance rate zones as described in Figure 3, "Map Legend for FIRM." Flood insurance zone designations are assigned to flooding sources based on the results of the hydraulic or coastal analyses. Insurance agents use the zones shown on the FIRM and depths and base flood elevations in this FIS Report in conjunction with information on structures and their contents to assign premium rates for flood insurance policies.

The 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundary corresponds to the boundary of the areas of special flood hazards (e.g. Zones A, AE, V, VE, etc.), and the 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundary corresponds to the boundary of areas of additional flood hazards.

Table 3 lists the flood zones in Freestone County.

Table 3: Flood Zone Designations by Community

Community	Flood Zone(s)
Fairfield, City of	A, X
Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas	A, X
Kirvin, Town of *	X
Oakwood, City of	A, X
Streetman, City of	A, X
Teague, City of	A, X
Wortham, City of	A, X

*No Special Flood Hazard Areas Identified

SECTION 4.0 – AREA STUDIED

4.1 Basin Description

Table 4 contains a description of the characteristics of the HUC-8 sub-basins within which each community falls. The table includes the main flooding sources within each basin, a brief description of the basin, and its drainage area.

Table 4: Basin Characteristics

HUC-8 Sub-Basin Name	HUC-8 Sub-Basin Number	Primary Flooding Source	Description of Affected Area	Drainage Area (square miles)
Lower Trinity-Tehuacana	12030201	Trinity River	Covers most of area of Freestone County	2,917
Navasota	12070103	Navasota River	Covers the south west limit of Freestone County	3,074
Richland	12030108	Richland Creek	Covers a small portion north east of Freestone County	1,277
Upper Trinity	12030105	Trinity River	Covers a minimum portion of the top north east corner of Freestone County	1,930

4.2 Principal Flood Problems

Table 5 contains a description of the principal flood problems that have been noted for Freestone County by flooding source.

Table 5: Principal Flood Problems
[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

Table 6 contains information about historic flood elevations in the communities within Freestone County.

Table 6: Historic Flooding Elevations

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

4.3 Dams and Other Flood Hazard Reduction Measures

Table 7 contains information about non-levee flood hazard reduction measures within Freestone County such as dams or jetties. Levee systems are addressed in Section 4.4 of this FIS Report.

Table 7: Dams and Other Flood Hazard Reduction Measures

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

4.4 Levee Systems

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

Table 8: Levee Systems

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

SECTION 5.0 – ENGINEERING METHODS

For the flooding sources in the community, standard hydrologic and hydraulic study methods were used to determine the flood hazard data required for this study. Flood events of a magnitude that are expected to be equaled or exceeded at least once on the average during any 10-, 25-, 50-, 100-, or 500-year period (recurrence interval) have been selected as having special significance for floodplain management. These events, commonly termed the 10-, 25-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year floods, have a 10-, 4-, 2-, 1-, and 0.2-percent-annual-chance, respectively, of being equaled or exceeded during any year.

Although the recurrence interval represents the long-term, average period between floods of a specific magnitude, rare floods could occur at short intervals or even within the same year. The risk of experiencing a rare flood increases when periods greater than 1 year are considered. For example, the risk of having a flood that equals or exceeds the 100-year flood (1-percent chance of annual exceedance) during the term of a 30-year mortgage is approximately 26 percent (about 3 in 10); for any 90-year period, the risk increases to approximately 60 percent (6 in 10). The analyses reported herein reflect flooding potentials based on conditions existing in the community at the time of completion of this study. Maps and flood elevations will be amended periodically to reflect future changes.

5.1 Hydrologic Analyses

Hydrologic analyses were carried out to establish the peak elevation-frequency relationships for floods of the selected recurrence intervals for each flooding source studied. Hydrologic analyses are typically performed at the watershed level. Depending on factors such as watershed size and shape, land use and urbanization, and natural or man-made storage, various models or methodologies may be applied. A summary of the hydrologic methods applied to develop the discharges used in the hydraulic analyses for each stream is provided in Table 12. Greater detail (including assumptions, analysis, and results) is available in the archived project documentation.

Stream gage information is provided in Table 11.

Table 9: Summary of Discharges

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

Figure 7: Frequency Discharge-Drainage Area Curves

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

Table 10: Summary of Non-Coastal Stillwater Elevation

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

Table 11: Stream Gage Information used to Determine Discharges

Flooding Source	Gage Identifier	Agency that Maintains Gage	Site Name	Drainage Area (Square Miles)	Period of Record	
					From	To
Trinity River	08064570	USGS	Trinity Rv at Hwy 287 nr Cayuda, TX	1930	06/06/2017	08/28/2022
Trinity River	08065000	USGS	Trinity Rv nr Oakwood, Tx	2917	01/01/1890	08/29/2022
Tehuacana Creek	08064700	USGS	Tehuacana Ck nr Streetman, TX	2917	03/16/1969	02/03/2022

5.2 Hydraulic Analyses

Analyses of the hydraulic characteristics of flooding from the sources studied were carried out to provide estimates of the elevations of floods of the selected recurrence intervals. Base flood elevations on the FIRM represent the elevations shown on the Flood Profiles and in the Floodway Data tables in the FIS Report. Rounded whole-foot elevations may be shown on the FIRM in coastal areas, areas of ponding, and other areas with static base flood elevations. These whole-foot elevations may not exactly reflect the elevations derived from the hydraulic analyses. For construction and/or floodplain management purposes, users are cautioned to use the flood elevation data presented in this FIS Report in conjunction with the data shown on the FIRM.

A summary of the methods used in hydraulic analyses performed for this project is provided in Table 12. Roughness coefficients are provided in Table 13. Roughness coefficients are values representing the frictional resistance water experiences when passing overland or through a channel. They are used in the calculations to determine water surface elevations. Greater detail (including assumptions, analysis, and results) is available in the archived project documentation.

Table 12: Summary of Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analyses

Flooding Source	Study Limits Downstream Limit	Study Limits Upstream Limit	Hydrologic Model or Method Used	Hydraulic Model or Method Used	Date Analyses Completed	Flood Zone on FIRM	Special Considerations
All Zone A within Lower Trinity-Tehuacana	All within Lower Trinity-Tehuacana Watershed	All within Lower Trinity-Tehuacana Watershed	Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 3.1.0	02/01/2021	A	BLE hydraulic modeling
All Zone A within Navasota	All within Navasota Watershed	All within Navasota Watershed	Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 3.1.0	01/01/2021	A	BLE hydraulic modeling
All Zone A within Richland	All within Richland Watershed	All within Richland Watershed	Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 3.1.0	03/01/2017	A	BLE hydraulic modeling
All Zone A within Upper Trinity	All within Upper Trinity Watershed	All within Upper Trinity Watershed	Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 5.0	02/01/2022	A	BLE hydraulic modeling

Table 13: Roughness Coefficients

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

5.3 Coastal Analyses

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

Table 14: Summary of Coastal Analyses

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

5.3.1 Total Stillwater Elevations

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

Figure 8: 1% Annual Chance Total Stillwater Elevations for Coastal Areas

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

Table 15: Tide Gage Analysis Specifics

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

5.3.2 Waves

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

5.3.3 Coastal Erosion

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

5.3.4 Wave Hazard Analyses

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

Table 16: Coastal Transect Parameters

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

Figure 9: Transect Location Map

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

5.4 Alluvial Fan Analyses

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

Table 17: Summary of Alluvial Fan Analyses

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

Table 18: Results of Alluvial Fan Analyses

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

SECTION 6.0 – MAPPING METHODS

6.1 Vertical and Horizontal Control

All FIS Reports and FIRMs are referenced to a specific vertical datum. The vertical datum provides a starting point against which flood, ground, and structure elevations can be referenced and compared. Until recently, the standard vertical datum used for newly created or revised FIS Reports and FIRMs was the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD29). With the completion of the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88), many FIS Reports and FIRMs are now prepared using NAVD88 as the referenced vertical datum.

Flood elevations shown in this FIS Report and on the FIRMs are referenced to NAVD88. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between NGVD29 and NAVD88 or other datum conversion, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at www.ngs.noaa.gov.

Temporary vertical monuments are often established during the preparation of a flood hazard analysis for the purpose of establishing local vertical control. Although these monuments are not shown on the FIRM, they may be found in the archived project documentation associated with the FIS Report and the FIRMs for this community. Interested individuals may contact FEMA to access these data.

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for benchmarks in the area, please visit the NGS website at www.ngs.noaa.gov.

The datum conversion locations and values that were calculated for Freestone County are provided in Table 19.

Table 19: Countywide Vertical Datum Conversion

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

Table 20: Stream-Based Vertical Datum Conversion

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

6.2 Base Map

The FIRMs and FIS Report for this project have been produced in a digital format. The flood hazard information was converted to a Geographic Information System (GIS) format that meets FEMA's FIRM Database specifications and geographic information standards. This information is provided in a digital format so that it can be incorporated into a local GIS and be accessed more easily by the community. The FIRM Database includes most of the tabular information contained in the FIS Report in such a way that the data can be associated with pertinent spatial features. For example, the information contained in the Floodway Data table and Flood Profiles can be linked to the cross sections that are shown on the FIRMs. Additional information about the FIRM Database and

its contents can be found in FEMA's *Guidelines and Standards for Flood Risk Analysis and Mapping*, www.fema.gov/flood-maps/guidance-partners/guidelines-standards.

Base map information shown on the FIRM was derived from the sources described in Table 21.

Table 21: Base Map Sources

Data Type	Data Provider	Data Date	Data Scale	Data Description
Texas County Boundaries	TxDOT	2022	1:24000	S_Pol_Ar
Texas Railroads	TxDOT	2023	1:24000	S_Transport_Ln
TxDOT City Boundaries	TxDOT	2023	1:24000	S_Pol_Ar
TxDOT Roadways	TxDOT	2023	1:24000	S_Transport_Ln
TIGER/Line Shapefile, state, Texas, Current Place State-based	Department of Commerce	2017	1:24000	S_Pol_Ar
Stream Gage Data for Freestone County, Texas	USGS	2021	1:24000	S_Gage

6.3 Floodplain and Floodway Delineation

The FIRM shows tints, screens, and symbols to indicate floodplains and floodways as well as the locations of selected cross sections used in the hydraulic analyses and floodway computations.

For riverine flooding sources, the mapped floodplain boundaries shown on the FIRM have been delineated using the flood elevations determined at each cross section; between cross sections, the boundaries were interpolated using the topographic elevation data described in Table 22.

In cases where the 1-percent and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries are close together, only the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundary has been shown. Small areas within the floodplain boundaries may lie above the flood elevations but cannot be shown due to limitations of the map scale and/or lack of detailed topographic data.

The floodway widths presented in this FIS Report and on the FIRM were computed for certain stream segments on the basis of equal conveyance reduction from each side of the floodplain. Floodway widths were computed at cross sections. Between cross sections, the floodway boundaries were interpolated. Table 2 indicates the flooding sources for which floodways have been determined. The results of the floodway computations for those flooding sources have been tabulated for selected cross sections and are shown in Table 23, "Floodway Data

Table 22: Summary of Topographic Elevation Data used in Mapping

Community	Flooding Source	Source for Topographic Elevation Data			
		Description	Vertical Accuracy	Horizontal Accuracy	Citation
Fairfield City of; Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas; Oakwood, City of; Streetman, City of; Teague, City of; Wortham, City of;	Lower Trinity-Tehuacana	LiDAR	24.5 cm RMSEz	24.5 cm at the 95% confidence level	USGS 2017
Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas; Teague, City of	Navasota	LiDAR	14.6 cm RMSEz	14.6cm at the 95% confidence level	FEMA/USGS 2017
Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas	Richland	LiDAR	3.77 cm RMSEz	12.5 cm at the 95% confidence level	TNRIS 2017
Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas	Upper Trinity	LiDAR	14.6 cm RMSEz	N/A	TNRIS 2009

BFEs shown at cross sections on the FIRM represent the 1-percent-annual-chance water surface elevations shown on the Flood Profiles and in the Floodway Data tables in the FIS Report.

Table 23: Floodway Data

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

Table 24: Flood Hazard and Non-Encroachment Data for Selected Streams

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

6.4 Coastal Flood Hazard Mapping

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project

Table 25: Summary of Coastal Transect Mapping Considerations

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

6.5 FIRM Revisions

This FIS Report and the FIRM are based on the most up-to-date information available to FEMA at the time of its publication; however, flood hazard conditions change over time. Communities or private parties may request flood map revisions at any time. Certain types of requests require submission of supporting data. FEMA may also initiate a revision. Revisions may take several forms, including Letters of Map Amendment (LOMAs), Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill (LOMR-Fs), Letters of Map Revision (LOMRs) (referred to collectively as Letters of Map Change (LOMCs)), Physical Map Revisions (PMRs), and FEMA-contracted restudies. These types of revisions are further described below. Some of these types of revisions do not result in the republishing of the FIS Report. To assure that any user is aware of all revisions, it is advisable to contact the community repository of flood-hazard data (shown in Table 30, "Map Repositories").

6.5.1 Letters of Map Amendment

A LOMA is an official revision by letter to an effective NFIP map. A LOMA results from an administrative process that involves the review of scientific or technical data submitted by the owner or lessee of property who believes the property has incorrectly been included in a designated SFHA. A LOMA amends the currently effective FEMA map and establishes that a specific property is not located in a SFHA.

To obtain an application for a LOMA, visit www.fema.gov/flood-maps/change-your-flood-zone and download the form "MT-1 Application Forms and Instructions for Conditional and Final Letters of Map Amendment and Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill". Visit the "Flood Map-Related Fees" section to determine the cost, if any, of applying for a LOMA.

FEMA offers a tutorial on how to apply for a LOMA. The LOMA Tutorial Series can be accessed at www.fema.gov/flood-maps/tutorials.

For more information about how to apply for a LOMA, call the FEMA Mapping and Insurance eXchange; toll free, at 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627).

6.5.2 Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill

A LOMR-F is an official revision by letter to an effective NFIP map. A LOMR-F states FEMA's determination concerning whether a structure or parcel has been elevated on fill above the base flood elevation and is, therefore, excluded from the SFHA.

Information about obtaining an application for a LOMR-F can be obtained in the same manner as that for a LOMA, by visiting www.fema.gov/flood-maps/change-your-flood-zone for the "MT-1 Application Forms and Instructions for Conditional and Final Letters of Map Amendment and Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill" or by calling the FEMA Mapping and Insurance eXchange, toll free, at 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627). Fees for applying for a LOMR-F, if any, are listed in the "Flood Map-Related Fees" section.

A tutorial for LOMR-F is available at www.fema.gov/flood-maps/tutorials.

6.5.3 Letters of Map Revision

A LOMR is an official revision to the currently effective FEMA map. It is used to change flood zones, floodplain and floodway delineations, flood elevations and planimetric features. All requests for LOMRs should be made to FEMA through the chief executive officer of the community, since it is the community that must adopt any changes and revisions to the map. If the request for a LOMR is not submitted through the chief executive officer of the community, evidence must be submitted that the community has been notified of the request.

To obtain an application for a LOMR, visit www.fema.gov/flood-maps/change-your-flood-zone and download the form "MT-2 Application Forms and Instructions for Conditional Letters of Map Revision and Letters of Map Revision". Visit the "Flood Map-Related Fees" section to determine the cost of applying for a LOMR. For more information about how to apply for a LOMR, call the FEMA Mapping and Insurance eXchange; toll free, at 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627) to speak to a Map Specialist.

Previously issued mappable LOMCs (including LOMRs) that have been incorporated into the Freestone County FIRM are listed in Table 26. Please note that this table only includes LOMCs that have been issued on the FIRM panels updated by this map revision. For all other areas within this county, users should be aware that revisions to the FIS Report made by prior LOMRs may not be reflected herein and users will need to continue to use the previously issued LOMRs to obtain the most current data.

Table 26: Incorporated Letters of Map Change

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

6.5.4 Physical Map Revisions

A Physical Map Revisions (PMR) is an official republication of a community's NFIP map to effect changes to base flood elevations, floodplain boundary delineations, regulatory floodways and planimetric features. These changes typically occur as a result of structural works or improvements, annexations resulting in additional flood hazard areas or correction to base flood elevations or SFHAs.

The community's chief executive officer must submit scientific and technical data to

FEMA to support the request for a PMR. The data will be analyzed and the map will be revised if warranted. The community is provided with copies of the revised information and is afforded a review period. When the base flood elevations are changed, a 90-day appeal period is provided. A 6-month adoption period for formal approval of the revised map(s) is also provided.

For more information about the PMR process, please visit www.fema.gov and visit the Floods & Maps "Change Your Flood Zone Designation" section.

6.5.5 Contracted Restudies

The NFIP provides for a periodic review and restudy of flood hazards within a given community. FEMA accomplishes this through a national watershed-based mapping needs assessment strategy, known as the Coordinated Needs Management Strategy (CNMS). The CNMS is used by FEMA to assign priorities and allocate funding for new flood hazard analyses used to update the FIS Report and FIRM. The goal of CNMS is to define the validity of the engineering study data within a mapped inventory. The CNMS is used to track the assessment process, document engineering gaps and their resolution, and aid in prioritization for using flood risk as a key factor for areas identified for flood map updates. Visit www.fema.gov to learn more about the CNMS or contact the FEMA Regional Office listed in Section 8 of this FIS Report.

6.5.6 Community Map History

The current FIRM presents flooding information for the entire geographic area of Freestone County. Previously, separate FIRMs, Flood Hazard Boundary Maps (FHBMs) and/or Flood Boundary and Floodway Maps (FBFMs) may have been prepared for the incorporated communities and the unincorporated areas in the county that had identified SFHAs. Current and historical data relating to the maps prepared for the project area are presented in Table 27, "Community Map History." A description of each of the column headings and the source of the date is also listed below.

- *Community Name* includes communities falling within the geographic area shown on the FIRM, including those that fall on the boundary line, nonparticipating communities, and communities with maps that have been rescinded. Communities with No Special Flood Hazards are indicated by a footnote. If all maps (FHBM, FBFM, and FIRM) were rescinded for a community, it is not listed in this table unless SFHAs have been identified in this community.

- *Initial Identification Date (First NFIP Map Published)* is the date of the first NFIP map that identified flood hazards in the community. If the FHBM has been converted to a FIRM, the initial FHBM date is shown. If the community has never been mapped, the upcoming effective date or "pending" (for Preliminary FIS Reports) is shown. If the community is listed in Table 27 but not identified on the map, the community is treated as if it were unmapped.

- *Initial FHBM Effective Date* is the effective date of the first FHBM. This date may be the same date as the Initial NFIP Map Date.

- *FHBM Revision Date(s)* is the date(s) that the FHBM was revised, if applicable.

- *Initial FIRM Effective Date* is the date of the first effective FIRM for the community.

- *FIRM Revision Date(s)* is the date(s) the FIRM was revised, if applicable. This is the revised date that is shown on the FIRM panel, if applicable. As countywide studies are completed or revised, each community listed should have its FIRM dates updated accordingly to reflect the date of the countywide study. Once the FIRMs exist in countywide format, as PMRs of FIRM panels within the county are completed, the FIRM Revision Dates in the table for each community affected by the PMR are updated with the date of the PMR, even if the PMR did not revise all the panels within that community.

The initial effective date for the Freestone County FIRMs in countywide format was 6/10/2026.

Table 27: Community Map History

Community Name	Initial Identification Date	Initial FHBM Effective Date	FHBM Revision Date(s)	Initial FIRM Effective Date	FIRM Revision Date(s)
Fairfield, City of	8/13/1976	8/13/1976	N/A	3/1/1990	6/10/2026
Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas	1/3/1978	1/3/1978	N/A	9/1/2007	6/10/2026
Kirvin, Town of[1]	06/10/2026	N/A	N/A	6/10/2026	N/A
Oakwood, City of[2]	5/24/1974	5/24/1974	N/A	11/20/2013	6/10/2026
Streetman, City of[3]	5/6/1977	5/6/1977	N/A	6/5/2012	6/10/2026
Teague, City of	02/06/1976	02/06/1976	N/A	6/10/2026	N/A
Wortham, City of	10/29/1976	10/29/1976	N/A	9/4/1985	6/10/2026

[1] No Special Flood Hazard Areas Identified
[2] Initial dates taken from Leon County, TX.
[3] Initial dates taken from Navarro County , TX

SECTION 7.0 – CONTRACTED STUDIES AND COMMUNITY COORDINATION

7.1 Contracted Studies

Table 28 provides a summary of the contracted studies, by flooding source, that are included in this FIS Report.

Table 28: Summary of Contracted Studies Included in this FIS Report

Flooding Source	FIS Report Dated	Contractor	Number	Work Completed Date	Affected Communities
All Zone A flooding within Lower Lower Trinity-Tehuacana	6/10/2026	Compass PTV JV	HSFE60-15-D-0003	February 2021	Fairfield, City of; Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas; Oakwood, City of; Teague, City of; Streetman, City of; Wortham, City of;
All Zone A flooding within Navasota	6/10/2026	Compass PTV JV	HSFE60-15-D-0003	March 2017	Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas; Teague, City of
All Zone A flooding within Richland	6/10/2026	Compass PTV JV	HSFE60-15-D-0003	January 2021	Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas
All Zone A flooding within Upper Trinity	6/10/2026	Compass PTV JV	HSFE60-15-D-0003	February 2022	Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas

7.2 Community Meetings

The dates of the community meetings held for this Flood Risk Project and previous Flood Risk Projects are shown in Table 29. These meetings may have previously been referred to by a variety of names (Community Coordination Officer (CCO), Scoping, Discovery, etc.), but all meetings represent opportunities for FEMA, community officials, study contractors, and other invited guests to discuss the planning for and results of the project.

Table 29: Community Meetings

Community	FIS Report Dated	Date of Meeting	Meeting Type	Attended By
Fairfield, City of	6/10/2026	7/25/2023	Scoping	Compass, and the City of Fairfield
		9/10/2024	CCO	
Freestone County Unincorporated Areas	6/10/2026	7/25/2023	Scoping	Compass, and the City of Fairfield
		9/10/2024	CCO	
Kirvin, Town of	6/10/2026	7/25/2023	Scoping	Compass, and the Town of Kirvin
		9/10/2024	CCO	
Oakwood, City of;	6/10/2026	7/25/2023	Scoping	Compass, and the City of Oakwood
		9/10/2024	CCO	
Streetman, City of	6/10/2026	7/25/2023	Scoping	Compass, and the City of Streetman
		9/10/2024	CCO	
Teague, City of	6/10/2026	7/25/2023	Scoping	Compass, and the City of Teague
		9/10/2024	CCO	
Wortham, City of	6/10/2026	7/25/2023	Scoping	Compass, and the City of Wortham
		9/10/2024	CCO	

SECTION 8.0 – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Information concerning the pertinent data used in the preparation of this FIS Report can be obtained by submitting an order with any required payment to the FEMA Engineering Library. For more information on this process, see www.fema.gov.

Table 30 is a list of the locations where FIRMs for Freestone County can be viewed. Please note that the maps at these locations are for reference only and are not for distribution. Also, please note that only the maps for the community listed in the table are available at that particular repository. A user may need to visit another repository to view maps from an adjacent community.

Table 30: Map Repositories

Community	Address	City	State	Zip Code
Fairfield, City of	City Hall 527 E Commerce St	Fairfield	TX	75840
Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas	Freestone County Courthouse 118 East Commerce Street Room 205	Fairfield	TX	75840
Kirvin, Town of[1]	Freestone County Courthouse 118 East Commerce Street Room 205	Fairfield	TX	75840
Oakwood, City of	City Hall 135 East Broad Street	Oakwood	TX	75855
Streetman, City of	City Hall 204 East Main St	Streetman	TX	75859
Teague, City of	City Hall 105 South 4th Ave	Teague	TX	75860
Wortham, City of	Town Hall 108 W Main Ave	Wortham	TX	76693

[1] No Special Flood Hazard Areas Identified

The National Flood Hazard Layer (NFHL) dataset is a compilation of effective FIRM Databases and LOMCs. Together they create a GIS data layer for a State or Territory. The NFHL is updated as studies become effective and extracts are made available to the public monthly. NFHL data can be viewed or ordered from the website shown in Table 31.

Table 31 contains useful contact information regarding the FIS Report, the FIRM, and other relevant flood hazard and GIS data. In addition, information about the State NFIP Coordinator and GIS Coordinator is shown in this table. At the request of FEMA, each Governor has designated an agency of State or territorial government to coordinate that State's or territory's NFIP activities. These agencies often assist communities in developing and adopting necessary floodplain management measures. State GIS Coordinators are knowledgeable about the availability and location of State and local GIS data in their state.

Table 31: Additional Information

FEMA and the NFIP	
FEMA and FEMA Engineering Library website	www.fema.gov/flood-maps/products-tools/know-your-risk/engineers-surveyors-architects
NFIP website	www.fema.gov/flood-insurance
NFHL Dataset	msc.fema.gov
FEMA Region VI	Federal Regional Center 800 North Loop 288 Denton, TX 76201-3698 (940) 898-5399
Other Federal Agencies	
USGS website	www.usgs.gov
Hydraulic Engineering Center website	www.hec.usace.army.mil
State Agencies and Organizations	
State NFIP Coordinator	Richie Hernandez Texas Water Development Board 1700 N. Congress Ave. Austin, TX 78701 512-656-6081 richie.hernandez@twdb.texas.gov
State GIS Coordinator	Mike Ouimet Unit Chief - Operations Technology 300 West 15th Street P.O. Box 13564 Austin, Texas, 78711-3564 Phone: (512) 305-9076 Email: Michael.Ouimet@dir.state.tx.us

SECTION 9.0 – BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES

Table 32 includes sources used in the preparation of and cited in this FIS Report as well as additional studies that have been conducted in the study area.

Table 32: Bibliography and References


Citation in this FIS	Publisher/ Issuer	*Publication Title,* "Article," Volume, Number, etc.	Author/Editor	Place of Publication	Publication Date/ Date of Issuance	Link
Compass. 2017	Compass PTS JV	*Richland Watershed, TX BLE*	Compass PTS JV	Arlington, VA	March 2017	https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/estBFE/
Compass, 2020	Compass PTS JV	*Lower Trinity-Tehuacana Watershed, TX BLE*	Compass PTS JV	Arlington, VA	February 2021	https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/estBFE/
Compass, 2021	Compass PTS JV	*Navasota, TX BLE*	Compass PTS JV	Arlington, VA	January 2021	https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/estBFE/
Compass, 2022	Compass PTS JV	*Upper Trinity, TX BLE*	Compass PTS JV	Arlington, VA	February 2022	https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/estBFE/
Compass, 2023	Compass PTS JV	*Freestone County PIR*	Compass PTS JV	Arlington, VA	6/10/2026	
FEMA, USGS 2017	U.S. Geological Survey	2017 FEMA LiDAR, Robertson, Freestone, Brazos Counties, TX	USGS	Reston, VA	February 2017	https://www.usgs.gov/
TNRIS, 2009	Texas Natural Resources Information System	2009 Dallas County LIDAR	TNRIS	Dallas, TX	March 2009	https://tnris.org/
TNRIS, 2017	Texas Natural Resources Information System	2017 Richland-Chambers Reservoir TNRIS LIDAR DATA	Texas Department of Transportation	Reston, VA	2017	https://tnris.org/
TXDOT, 2022	Texas Department of Transportation	*Texas County Boundaries*	Texas Department of Transportation	Austin, Texas	May 2022	https://gis-txdot.opendata.arcgis.com/
TXDOT, 2023	Texas Department of Transportation	*TxDOT City Boundaries*	Texas Department of Transportation	Austin, Texas	January 2023	https://gis-txdot.opendata.arcgis.com/

Citation in this FIS	Publisher/ Issuer	*Publication Title,* "Article," Volume, Number, etc.	Author/Editor	Place of Publication	Publication Date/ Date of Issuance	Link
TXDOT, 2023b	Texas Department of Transportation	*TxDOT Roadways*	Texas Department of Transportation	Austin, Texas	April 2023	https://gis-txdot.opendata.arcgis.com/
TXDOT, 2023c	Texas Department of Transportation	*Texas Railroads*	Texas Department of Transportation	Austin, Texas	January 26, 2023	https://gis-txdot.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/TXDOT::texas-railroads/explore?location=30.821450%2C-100.077132%2C6.93
U.S. Census Bureau, 2023	Department of Commerce	*TIGER/Line Shapefile, state, Texas, Current Place State-based*	Department of Commerce	Washington, DC	2023	https://catalog.data.gov/dataset/tiger-line-shapefile-current-state-texas-place
USGS, 2017	U.S. Geological Survey	*2017 USGS LiDAR (Brazos, Freestone, & Robertson)*	USGS	Reston, VA	February 2017	https://www.usgs.gov/
USGS 2021	U.S. Geological Survey	*Stream Gage Data for Freestone County, Texas*	USGS	Reston, VA	January 2021	https://dashboard.waterdata.usgs.gov/

FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

VOLUME 1 of 1



FREESTONE COUNTY, TEXAS

AND INCORPORATED AREAS

COMMUNITY NAME	COMMUNITY NUMBER
FAIRFIELD, CITY OF	480823
FREESTONE COUNTY, UNINCORPORATED AREAS	480822
KIRVIN, TOWN OF *	480824
OAKWOOD, CITY OF	480437
STREETMAN, CITY OF	480825
TEAGUE, CITY OF	480236
WORTHAM, CITY OF	480826

*No Special Flood Hazard Areas Identified

FEMA

EFFECTIVE:

6/10/2026

FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY NUMBER
48161CV000A
Version Number **2.8.5.6**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Volume 1

		Page
SECTION 1.0 – INTRODUCTION		**1**
1.1	The National Flood Insurance Program	1
1.2	Purpose of this Flood Insurance Study Report	2
1.3	Jurisdictions Included in the Flood Insurance Study Project	2
1.4	Considerations for using this Flood Insurance Study Report	3
SECTION 2.0 – FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT APPLICATIONS		**13**
2.1	Floodplain Boundaries	13
2.2	Floodways	15
2.3	Base Flood Elevations	16
2.4	Non-Encroachment Zones	17
2.5	Coastal Flood Hazard Areas	17
2.5.1	Water Elevations and the Effects of Waves	17
2.5.2	Floodplain Boundaries and BFEs for Coastal Areas	17
2.5.3	Coastal High Hazard Areas	17
2.5.4	Limit of Moderate Wave Action	17
SECTION 3.0 – INSURANCE APPLICATIONS		**17**
3.1	National Flood Insurance Program Insurance Zones	17
SECTION 4.0 – AREA STUDIED		**18**
4.1	Basin Description	18
4.2	Principal Flood Problems	18
4.3	Dams and Other Flood Hazard Reduction Measures	19
4.4	Levee Systems	19
SECTION 5.0 – ENGINEERING METHODS		**20**
5.1	Hydrologic Analyses	20
5.2	Hydraulic Analyses	22
5.3	Coastal Analyses	23
5.3.1	Total Stillwater Elevations	23
5.3.2	Waves	23
5.3.3	Coastal Erosion	23
5.3.4	Wave Hazard Analyses	24
5.4	Alluvial Fan Analyses	25
SECTION 6.0 – MAPPING METHODS		**26**
6.1	Vertical and Horizontal Control	26
6.2	Base Map	26
6.3	Floodplain and Floodway Delineation	27
6.4	Coastal Flood Hazard Mapping	29
6.5	FIRM Revisions	29

6.5.1 Letters of Map Amendment 29
6.5.2 Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill 30
6.5.3 Letters of Map Revision 30
6.5.4 Physical Map Revisions 30
6.5.5 Contracted Restudies 31
6.5.6 Community Map History 31

SECTION 7.0 – CONTRACTED STUDIES AND COMMUNITY COORDINATION 32
7.1 Contracted Studies 32
7.2 Community Meetings 33

SECTION 8.0 – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION 35

SECTION 9.0 – BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES 36

Figures

Page

Figure 1: FIRM Index 5
Figure 2: FIRM Notes to Users 6
Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM 9
Figure 4: Floodway Schematic 16
Figure 5: Wave Runup Transect Schematic 17
Figure 6: Coastal Transect Schematic 17
Figure 7: Frequency Discharge-Drainage Area Curves 21
Figure 8: 1% Annual Chance Total Stillwater Elevations for Coastal Areas 23
Figure 9: Transect Location Map 25

Tables

Page

Table 1: Listing of NFIP Jurisdictions 3
Table 2: Flooding Sources Included in this FIS Report 14
Table 3: Flood Zone Designations by Community 18
Table 4: Basin Characteristics 18
Table 5: Principal Flood Problems 18
Table 6: Historic Flooding Elevations 19
Table 7: Dams and Other Flood Hazard Reduction Measures 19
Table 8: Levee Systems 19
Table 9: Summary of Discharges 21
Table 10: Summary of Non-Coastal Stillwater Elevation 21
Table 11: Stream Gage Information used to Determine Discharges 21
Table 12: Summary of Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analyses 23
Table 13: Roughness Coefficients 23
Table 14: Summary of Coastal Analyses 23

Table 15: Tide Gage Analysis Specifics 23
Table 16: Coastal Transect Parameters 24
Table 17: Summary of Alluvial Fan Analyses 25
Table 18: Results of Alluvial Fan Analyses 25
Table 19: Countywide Vertical Datum Conversion 26
Table 20: Stream-Based Vertical Datum Conversion 26
Table 21: Base Map Sources 27
Table 22: Summary of Topographic Elevation Data used in Mapping 28
Table 23: Floodway Data 29
Table 24: Flood Hazard and Non-Encroachment Data for Selected Streams 29
Table 25: Summary of Coastal Transect Mapping Considerations 29
Table 26: Incorporated Letters of Map Change 30
Table 27: Community Map History 32
Table 28: Summary of Contracted Studies Included in this FIS Report 33
Table 29: Community Meetings 34
Table 30: Map Repositories 35
Table 31: Additional Information 36
Table 32: Bibliography and References 37

Published Separately

Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM)

FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY REPORT
FREESTONE COUNTY, TEXAS

SECTION 1.0 – INTRODUCTION

1.1 The National Flood Insurance Program

The National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) is a voluntary Federal program that enables property owners in participating communities to purchase insurance protection against losses from flooding. This insurance is designed to provide an alternative to disaster assistance to meet the escalating costs of repairing damage to buildings and their contents caused by floods.

For decades, the national response to flood disasters was generally limited to constructing flood-control works such as dams, levees, sea-walls, and the like, and providing disaster relief to flood victims. This approach did not reduce losses nor did it discourage unwise development. In some instances, it may have actually encouraged additional development. To compound the problem, the public generally could not buy flood coverage from insurance companies, and building techniques to reduce flood damage were often overlooked.

In the face of mounting flood losses and escalating costs of disaster relief to the general taxpayers, the U.S. Congress created the NFIP. The intent was to reduce future flood damage through community floodplain management ordinances, and provide protection for property owners against potential losses through an insurance mechanism that requires a premium to be paid for the protection.

The U.S. Congress established the NFIP on August 1, 1968, with the passage of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968. The NFIP was broadened and modified with the passage of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and other legislative measures. It was further modified by the National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 and the Flood Insurance Reform Act of 2004. The NFIP is administered by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), which is a component of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

Participation in the NFIP is based on an agreement between local communities and the Federal Government. If a community adopts and enforces floodplain management regulations to reduce future flood risks to new construction and substantially improved structures in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs), the Federal Government will make flood insurance available within the community as a financial protection against flood losses. The community's floodplain management regulations must meet or exceed criteria established in accordance with Title 44 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 60, *Criteria for Land Management and Use*.

SFHAs are delineated on the community's Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). Under the NFIP, buildings that were built before the flood hazard was identified on the community's FIRMs are generally referred to as "Pre-FIRM" buildings. When the NFIP was created, the U.S. Congress recognized that insurance for Pre-FIRM buildings would be prohibitively expensive if the premiums were not subsidized by the Federal Government. Congress also recognized that most of these flood prone buildings were

built by individuals who did not have sufficient knowledge of the flood hazard to make informed decisions. The NFIP requires that full actuarial rates reflecting the complete flood risk be charged on all buildings constructed or substantially improved on or after the effective date of the initial FIRM for the community or after December 31, 1974, whichever is later. These buildings are generally referred to as "Post-FIRM" buildings.

1.2 Purpose of this Flood Insurance Study Report

This Flood Insurance Study (FIS) Report revises and updates information on the existence and severity of flood hazards for the study area. The studies described in this report developed flood hazard data to assist communities in efforts to implement sound floodplain management.

In some states or communities, floodplain management criteria or regulations may exist that are more restrictive than the minimum Federal requirements. Contact your State NFIP Coordinator to ensure that any higher State standards are included in the community's regulations.

1.3 Jurisdictions Included in the Flood Insurance Study Project

This FIS Report covers the entire geographic area of Freestone County, Texas.

The jurisdictions that are included in this project area, along with the Community Identification Number (CID) for each community and the United States Geological Survey (USGS) 8-digit Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC-8) sub-basins affecting each, are shown in Table 1. The FIRM panel numbers that affect each community are listed. If the flood hazard data for the community is not included in this FIS Report, the location of that data is identified.

The location of flood hazard data for participating communities in multiple jurisdictions is also indicated in the table.

Jurisdictions that have no identified SFHAs as of the effective date of this study are indicated in the table. Changed conditions in these communities (such as urbanization or annexation) or the availability of new scientific or technical data about flood hazards could make it necessary to determine SFHAs in these jurisdictions in the future.

Table 1: Listing of NFIP Jurisdictions

Community	CID	HUC-8 Sub-Basin(s)	Located on FIRM Panel(s)	If Not Included, Location of Flood Hazard Data
Fairfield, City of	480823	12030201	48161C0335C	
Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas	480822	12030201, 12030105,12030108, 12070103	48161C0025C 48161C0050C 48161C0075C 48161C0100C 4816C10125C 48161C0140C 48161C0150C 48161C0175C 48161C0200C 48161C0225C 48161C0250C 48161C0275C 48161C0300C 48161C0325C 48161C0335C 48161C0350C 48161C0375C 48161C0400C 48161C0425C 48161C0450C[2] 48161C0475C 48161C0500C 48161C0525C 48161C0550C 48161C0575C 48161C0600C 48161C0625C 48161C0650C	
Kirvin, Town of [1]	480824	12030201	48161C0175C	
Oakwood, City of	480437	12030201	48161C0575C	
Streetman, City of	480825	12030201	48161C0050C 48161C0175C	
Teague, City of	480236	12030201 12070103	48161C0325C 48161C0475C	
Wortham, City of	480826	12030201	48161C0140C 48161C0150C	

[1] No Special Flood Hazard Areas Identified
[2] Panels Not Printed

1.4 Considerations for using this Flood Insurance Study Report

The NFIP encourages State and local governments to implement sound floodplain management programs. To assist in this endeavor, each FIS Report provides floodplain

data, which may include a combination of the following: 10-, 4-, 2-, 1-, and 0.2-percent annual chance flood elevations (the 1-percent-annual-chance flood elevation is also referred to as the Base Flood Elevation (BFE)); delineations of the 1-percent-annual-chance and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplains; and 1-percent-annual-chance floodway. This information is presented on the FIRM and/or in many components of the FIS Report, including Flood Profiles, Floodway Data tables, Summary of Non-Coastal Stillwater Elevations tables, and Coastal Transect Parameters tables (not all components may be provided for a specific FIS).

This section presents important considerations for using the information contained in this FIS Report and the FIRM, including changes in format and content. Figures 1, 2, and 3 present information that applies to using the FIRM with the FIS Report.

- Part or all of this FIS Report may be revised and republished at any time. In addition, part of this FIS Report may be revised by a Letter of Map Revision (LOMR), which does not involve republication or redistribution of the FIS Report. Refer to Section 6.5 of this FIS Report for information about the process to revise the FIS Report and/or FIRM.

  It is, therefore, the responsibility of the user to consult with community officials by contacting the community repository to obtain the most current FIS Report components. Communities participating in the NFIP have established repositories of flood hazard data for floodplain management and flood insurance purposes. Community map repository addresses are provided in Table 30, "Map Repositories," within this FIS Report.

- New FIS Reports are frequently developed for multiple communities, such as entire counties. A countywide FIS Report incorporates previous FIS Reports for individual communities and the unincorporated area of the county (if not jurisdictional) into a single document and supersedes those documents for the purposes of the NFIP.

  The initial Countywide FIS Report for Freestone County became effective on 06/10/2026. Refer to Table 27 for information about subsequent revisions to the FIRMs.

- The U.S. Survey Foot was deprecated on December 31, 2022, in favor of the International Foot (referred to as foot). It may still be necessary to use U.S. Survey Feet for legacy data or for new data collected in locations which have not yet adopted the International Foot convention. The U.S. Survey Foot will not be supported in the modernized National Spatial Reference System (NSRS).

- FEMA has developed a *Guide to Flood Maps* (FEMA 258) and online tutorials to assist users in accessing the information contained on the FIRM. These include how to read panels and step-by-step instructions to obtain specific information. To obtain this guide and other assistance in using the FIRM, visit the FEMA Web site at www.fema.gov/flood-maps/tutorials.

The FIRM Index in Figure 1 shows the overall FIRM panel layout within Freestone County, and also displays the panel number and effective date for each FIRM panel in the county. Other information shown on the FIRM Index includes community boundaries, flooding sources, watershed boundaries, and USGS HUC-8 codes.

Each FIRM panel may contain specific notes to the user that provide additional information regarding the flood hazard data shown on that map. However, the FIRM panel does not contain enough space to show all the notes that may be relevant in helping to better understand the information on the panel. Figure 2 contains the full list of these notes.

Figure 2: FIRM Notes to Users

NOTES TO USERS

For information and questions about this Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), available products associated with this FIRM including historic versions of this FIRM, how to order products, or the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call the FEMA Mapping and Insurance eXchange at 1-877-FEMA-MAP (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA Flood Map Service Center website at msc.fema.gov. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. Many of these products can be ordered or obtained directly from the website. Users may determine the current map date for each FIRM panel by visiting the FEMA Flood Map Service Center website or by calling the FEMA Mapping and Insurance eXchange.

Communities annexing land on adjacent FIRM panels must obtain a current copy of the adjacent panel as well as the current FIRM Index. These may be ordered directly from the Flood Map Service Center at the number listed above.

For community and countywide map dates, refer to Table 27 in this FIS Report.

To determine if flood insurance is available in the community, contact your insurance agent or call the National Flood Insurance Program at 1-800-638-6620.

The map is for use in administering the NFIP. It may not identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. Consult the community map repository to find updated or additional flood hazard information.

BASE FLOOD ELEVATIONS: For more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) and/or floodways have been determined, consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Non-Coastal Stillwater Elevations tables within this FIS Report. Use the flood elevation data within the FIS Report in conjunction with the FIRM for construction and/or floodplain management.

Figure 2. FIRM Notes to Users

FLOODWAY INFORMATION: Boundaries of the floodways were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the FIS Report for this jurisdiction.

FLOOD CONTROL STRUCTURE INFORMATION: Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may have reduced flood hazards due to flood control structures. Refer to Section 4.3 "Dams and Other Flood Hazard Reduction Measures" of this FIS Report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

PROJECTION INFORMATION: The projection used in the preparation of the map was State Plane Lambert Conformal Conic, Texas Central Zone 4203. The horizontal datum was the North American Datum of 1983 NAD83, GRS1980 spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or State Plane zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of the FIRM.

ELEVATION DATUM: Flood elevations on the FIRM are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at www.ngs.noaa.gov.

Local vertical monuments may have been used to create the map. To obtain current monument information, please contact the appropriate local community listed in Table 30 of this FIS Report.

BASE MAP INFORMATION: Basemap information shown on the FIRM was provided in digital format by the United States Geological Survey (USGS). The basemap shown is the USGA Nation Map: Orthoimagery. Last refreshed October, 2020. For information about base maps, refer to Section 6.2 "Base Map" in this FIS Report

The map reflects more detailed and up-to-date stream channel configurations than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables may reflect stream channel distances that differ from what is shown on the map.

Corporate limits shown on the map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after the map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

NOTES FOR FIRM INDEX

REVISIONS TO INDEX: As new studies are performed and FIRM panels are updated within Freestone County, Texas, corresponding revisions to the FIRM Index will be incorporated within the FIS Report to reflect the effective dates of those panels. Please refer to Table 27 of this FIS Report to determine the most recent FIRM revision date for each community. The most recent FIRM panel effective date will correspond to the most recent index date.

Figure 2. FIRM Notes to Users

SPECIAL NOTES FOR SPECIFIC FIRM PANELS
This Notes to Users section was created specifically for Freestone County, Texas, effective 6/10/2026.
FLOOD RISK REPORT: A Flood Risk Report (FRR) may be available for many of the flooding sources and communities referenced in this FIS Report. The FRR is provided to increase public awareness of flood risk by helping communities identify the areas within their jurisdictions that have the greatest risks. Although non-regulatory, the information provided within the FRR can assist communities in assessing and evaluating mitigation opportunities to reduce these risks. It can also be used by communities developing or updating flood risk mitigation plans. These plans allow communities to identify and evaluate opportunities to reduce potential loss of life and property. However, the FRR is not intended to be the final authoritative source of all flood risk data for a project area; rather, it should be used with other data sources to paint a comprehensive picture of flood risk.

Each FIRM panel contains an abbreviated legend for the features shown on the maps. However, the FIRM panel does not contain enough space to show the legend for all map features. Figure 3 shows the full legend of all map features. Note that not all of these features may appear on the FIRM panels in Freestone County.

Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM

SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS: The 1% annual chance flood, also known as the base flood or 100-year flood, has a 1% chance of happening or being exceeded each year. Special Flood Hazard Areas are subject to flooding by the 1% annual chance flood. The Base Flood Elevation is the water surface elevation of the 1% annual chance flood. The floodway is the channel of a stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be kept free of encroachment so that the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without substantial increases in flood heights. See note for specific types. If the floodway is too narrow to be shown, a note is shown.

Special Flood Hazard Areas subject to inundation by the 1% annual chance flood (Zones A, AE, AH, AO, AR, A99, V and VE)

Zone A	The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance floodplains. No base (1% annual chance) flood elevations (BFEs) or depths are shown within this zone.
Zone AE	The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance floodplains. Base flood elevations derived from the hydraulic analyses are shown within this zone.
Zone AH	The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the areas of 1% annual chance shallow flooding (usually areas of ponding) where average depths are between 1 and 3 feet. Whole-foot BFEs derived from the hydraulic analyses are shown at selected intervals within this zone.
Zone AO	The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the areas of 1% annual chance shallow flooding (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain) where average depths are between 1 and 3 feet. Average whole-foot depths derived from the hydraulic analyses are shown within this zone.
Zone AR	The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to areas that were formerly protected from the 1% annual chance flood by a flood control system that was subsequently decertified. Zone AR indicates that the former flood control system is being restored to provide protection from the 1% annual chance or greater flood.
Zone A99	The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to areas of the 1% annual chance floodplain that will be protected by a Federal flood protection system where construction has reached specified statutory milestones. No base flood elevations or flood depths are shown within this zone.
Zone V	The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance coastal floodplains that have additional hazards associated with storm waves. Base flood elevations are not shown within this zone.
Zone VE	Zone VE is the flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance coastal floodplains that have additional hazards associated with storm waves. Base flood elevations derived from the coastal analyses are shown within this zone as static whole-foot elevations that apply throughout the zone.

Regulatory Floodway determined in Zone AE.

Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM

OTHER AREAS OF FLOOD HAZARD

Shaded Zone X: Areas of 0.2% annual chance flood hazards and areas of 1% annual chance flood hazards with average depths of less than 1 foot or with drainage areas less than 1 square mile.

Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard – Zone X: The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance floodplains that are determined based on future-conditions hydrology. No base flood elevations or flood depths are shown within this zone.

Area with Reduced Flood Hazard due to Accredited or Provisionally Accredited Levee System: Area is shown as reduced flood hazard from the 1-percent-annual-chance or greater flood by a levee system. Overtopping or failure of any levee system is possible.

Area with Undetermined Flood Hazard due to Non-Accredited Levee System: Analysis and mapping procedures for non-accredited levee systems were applied resulting in a flood insurance rate zone where flood hazards are undetermined, but possible.

OTHER AREAS

Zone D (Areas of Undetermined Flood Hazard): The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to unstudied areas where flood hazards are undetermined, but possible.

NO SCREEN

Unshaded Zone X: Areas of minimal flood hazard.

FLOOD HAZARD AND OTHER BOUNDARY LINES

(ortho) (vector)

Flood Zone Boundary (white line on ortho-photography-based mapping; gray line on vector-based mapping)

Limit of Study

Jurisdiction Boundary

Limit of Moderate Wave Action (LiMWA): Indicates the inland limit of the area affected by waves greater than 1.5 feet

GENERAL STRUCTURES

Aqueduct
Channel
Culvert
Storm Sewer

Channel, Culvert, Aqueduct, or Storm Sewer

Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM

Dam *Jetty* *Weir*	Dam, Jetty, Weir
	Levee, Dike, or Floodwall
Bridge	Bridge
REFERENCE MARKERS	
22.0	River mile Markers
CROSS SECTION & TRANSECT INFORMATION	
B 20.2	Lettered Cross Section with Regulatory Water Surface Elevation (BFE)
5280 21.1	Numbered Cross Section with Regulatory Water Surface Elevation (BFE)
17.5	Unlettered Cross Section with Regulatory Water Surface Elevation (BFE)
8	Coastal Transect
	Profile Baseline: Indicates the modeled flow path of a stream and is shown on FIRM panels for all valid studies with profiles or otherwise established base flood elevation.
	Coastal Transect Baseline: Used in the coastal flood hazard model to represent the 0.0-foot elevation contour and the starting point for the transect and the measuring point for the coastal mapping.
513	Base Flood Elevation Line
ZONE AE (EL 16)	Static Base Flood Elevation value (shown under zone label)
ZONE AO (DEPTH 2)	Zone designation with Depth
ZONE AO (DEPTH 2) (VEL 15 FPS)	Zone designation with Depth and Velocity

Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM

BASE MAP FEATURES

Missouri Creek	River, Stream or Other Hydrographic Feature
234	Interstate Highway
234	U.S. Highway
234	State Highway
234	County Highway
MAPLE LANE	Street, Road, Avenue Name, or Private Drive if shown on Flood Profile
RAILROAD	Railroad
	Horizontal Reference Grid Line
+	Horizontal Reference Grid Ticks
+	Secondary Grid Crosshairs
Land Grant	Name of Land Grant
7	Section Number
R. 43 W. T. 22 N.	Range, Township Number
$^{42}76^{000m}E$	Horizontal Reference Grid Coordinates (UTM)
365000 FT	Horizontal Reference Grid Coordinates (State Plane)
80° 16' 52.5"	Corner Coordinates (Latitude, Longitude)

SECTION 2.0 – FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT APPLICATIONS

2.1 Floodplain Boundaries

To provide a national standard without regional discrimination, the 1-percent-annual-chance (100-year) flood has been adopted by FEMA as the base flood for floodplain management purposes. The 0.2-percent-annual-chance (500-year) flood is employed to indicate additional areas of flood hazard in the community.

Each flooding source included in the project scope has been studied and mapped using professional engineering and mapping methodologies that were agreed upon by FEMA and Freestone County as appropriate to the risk level. Flood risk is evaluated based on factors such as known flood hazards and projected impact on the built environment. Engineering analyses were performed for each studied flooding source to calculate its 1-percent-annual-chance flood elevations; elevations corresponding to other floods (e.g. 10-, 4-, 2-, 0.2-percent annual chance, etc.) may have also been computed for certain flooding sources. Engineering models and methods are described in detail in Section 5.0 of this FIS Report. The modeled elevations at cross sections were used to delineate the floodplain boundaries on the FIRM; between cross sections, the boundaries were interpolated using elevation data from various sources. More information on specific mapping methods is provided in Section 6.0 of this FIS Report.

Depending on the accuracy of available topographic data (Table 22), study methodologies employed (Section 5.0), and flood risk, certain flooding sources may be mapped to show both the 1-percent and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries, regulatory water surface elevations (BFEs), and/or a regulatory floodway. Similarly, other flooding sources may be mapped to show only the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundary on the FIRM, without published water surface elevations. In cases where the 1-percent and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries are close together, only the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundary is shown on the FIRM. Figure 3, "Map Legend for FIRM", describes the flood zones that are used on the FIRMs to account for the varying levels of flood risk that exist along flooding sources within the project area. Table 2 and Table 3 indicate the flood zone designations for each flooding source and each community within Freestone County, respectively.

Table 2, "Flooding Sources Included in this FIS Report," lists each flooding source, including its study limits, affected communities, mapped zone on the FIRM, and the completion date of its engineering analysis from which the flood elevations on the FIRM and in the FIS Report were derived. Descriptions and dates for the latest hydrologic and hydraulic analyses of the flooding sources are shown in Table 12. Floodplain boundaries for these flooding sources are shown on the FIRM (published separately) using the symbology described in Figure 3. On the map, the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain corresponds to the SFHAs. The 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain shows areas that, although out of the regulatory floodplain, are still subject to flood hazards.

Small areas within the floodplain boundaries may lie above the flood elevations but cannot be shown due to limitations of the map scale and/or lack of detailed topographic data. The procedures to remove these areas from the SFHA are described in Section 6.5 of this FIS Report.

Table 2: Flooding Sources Included in this FIS Report

Flooding Source	Community	Downstream Limit	Upstream Limit	HUC-8 Sub-Basin(s)	Length (mi) (streams or coastlines)	Area (mi^2) (estuaries or ponding)	Floodway (Y/N)	Zone shown on FIRM	Date of Analysis
All Zone A within Lower Trinity-Tehuacana	Fairfield, City of; Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas; Oakwood, City of; Streetman, City of; Teague, City of; Wortham, City of;	All within Lower Trinity-Tehuacana Watershed	All within Lower Trinity-Tehuacana Watershed	12030201	1,228	N/A	N	A	2021
All Zone A within Navasota	Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas; Teague, City of	All within Navasota Watershed	All within Navasota Watershed	12070103	160	N/A	N	A	2021
All Zone A within Richland	Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas	All within Richland Watershed	All within Richland Watershed	12030108	55	N/A	N	A	2017
All Zone A within Upper Trinity	Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas	All within Upper Trinity Watershed	All within Upper Trinity Watershed	12030105	151	N/A	N	A	2022


2.2 Floodways

Encroachment on floodplains, such as structures and fill, reduces flood-carrying capacity, increases flood heights and velocities, and increases flood hazards in areas beyond the encroachment itself. One aspect of floodplain management involves balancing the economic gain from floodplain development against the resulting increase in flood hazard.

For purposes of the NFIP, a floodway is used as a tool to assist local communities in balancing floodplain development against increasing flood hazard. With this approach, the area of the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain on a river is divided into a floodway and a floodway fringe based on hydraulic modeling. The floodway is the channel of a stream, plus any adjacent floodplain areas, that must be kept free of encroachment in order to carry the 1-percent-annual-chance flood. The floodway fringe is the area between the floodway and the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries where encroachment is permitted. The floodway must be wide enough so that the floodway fringe could be completely obstructed without increasing the water surface elevation of the 1-percent-annual-chance flood more than 1 foot at any point. Typical relationships between the floodway and the floodway fringe and their significance to floodplain development are shown in Figure 4.

To participate in the NFIP, Federal regulations require communities to limit increases caused by encroachment to 1.0 foot, provided that hazardous velocities are not produced. The floodways in this project are presented to local agencies as minimum standards that can be adopted directly or that can be used as a basis for additional floodway projects.

Figure 4: Floodway Schematic



2.3 Base Flood Elevations

The hydraulic characteristics of flooding sources were analyzed to provide estimates of the elevations of floods of the selected recurrence intervals. The BFE is the elevation of the 1-percent-annual-chance flood. These BFEs are most commonly rounded to the whole foot, as shown on the FIRM, but in certain circumstances or locations they may be rounded to 0.1 foot. Cross section lines shown on the FIRM may also be labeled with the BFE rounded to 0.1 foot. Whole-foot BFEs derived from engineering analyses that apply to coastal areas, areas of ponding, or other static areas with little elevation change may also be shown at selected intervals on the FIRM.

Cross sections with BFEs shown on the FIRM correspond to the cross sections shown in the Floodway Data table and Flood Profiles in this FIS Report. For construction and/or floodplain management purposes, users are cautioned to use the flood elevation data presented in this FIS Report in conjunction with the data shown on the FIRM. For example, the user may use the FIRM to determine the stream station of a location of interest and then use the profile to determine the 1-percent annual chance elevation at that location. Because only selected cross sections may be shown on the FIRM for riverine areas, the profile should be used to obtain the flood elevation between mapped cross sections. Additionally, for riverine areas, whole-foot elevations shown on the FIRM may not exactly reflect the elevations derived from the hydraulic analyses; therefore, elevations obtained from the profile may more accurately reflect the results of the hydraulic analysis.

2.4 Non-Encroachment Zones

This section is not applicable to this Flood risk Project

2.5 Coastal Flood Hazard Areas

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

2.5.1 Water Elevations and the Effects of Waves

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

Figure 5: Wave Runup Transect Schematic

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

2.5.2 Floodplain Boundaries and BFEs for Coastal Areas

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

2.5.3 Coastal High Hazard Areas

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

Figure 6: Coastal Transect Schematic

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

2.5.4 Limit of Moderate Wave Action

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

SECTION 3.0 – INSURANCE APPLICATIONS

3.1 National Flood Insurance Program Insurance Zones

For flood insurance applications, the FIRM designates flood insurance rate zones as described in Figure 3, "Map Legend for FIRM." Flood insurance zone designations are assigned to flooding sources based on the results of the hydraulic or coastal analyses. Insurance agents use the zones shown on the FIRM and depths and base flood elevations in this FIS Report in conjunction with information on structures and their contents to assign premium rates for flood insurance policies.

The 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundary corresponds to the boundary of the areas of special flood hazards (e.g. Zones A, AE, V, VE, etc.), and the 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundary corresponds to the boundary of areas of additional flood hazards.

Table 3 lists the flood zones in Freestone County.

Table 3: Flood Zone Designations by Community

Community	Flood Zone(s)
Fairfield, City of	A, X
Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas	A, X
Kirvin, Town of *	X
Oakwood, City of	A, X
Streetman, City of	A, X
Teague, City of	A, X
Wortham, City of	A, X

*No Special Flood Hazard Areas Identified

SECTION 4.0 – AREA STUDIED

4.1 Basin Description

Table 4 contains a description of the characteristics of the HUC-8 sub-basins within which each community falls. The table includes the main flooding sources within each basin, a brief description of the basin, and its drainage area.

Table 4: Basin Characteristics

HUC-8 Sub-Basin Name	HUC-8 Sub-Basin Number	Primary Flooding Source	Description of Affected Area	Drainage Area (square miles)
Lower Trinity-Tehuacana	12030201	Trinity River	Covers most of area of Freestone County	2,917
Navasota	12070103	Navasota River	Covers the south west limit of Freestone County	3,074
Richland	12030108	Richland Creek	Covers a small portion north east of Freestone County	1,277
Upper Trinity	12030105	Trinity River	Covers a minimum portion of the top north east corner of Freestone County	1,930

4.2 Principal Flood Problems

Table 5 contains a description of the principal flood problems that have been noted for Freestone County by flooding source.

Table 5: Principal Flood Problems
[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

Table 6 contains information about historic flood elevations in the communities within Freestone County.

Table 6: Historic Flooding Elevations

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

4.3 Dams and Other Flood Hazard Reduction Measures

Table 7 contains information about non-levee flood hazard reduction measures within Freestone County such as dams or jetties. Levee systems are addressed in Section 4.4 of this FIS Report.

Table 7: Dams and Other Flood Hazard Reduction Measures

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

4.4 Levee Systems

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

Table 8: Levee Systems

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

SECTION 5.0 – ENGINEERING METHODS

For the flooding sources in the community, standard hydrologic and hydraulic study methods were used to determine the flood hazard data required for this study. Flood events of a magnitude that are expected to be equaled or exceeded at least once on the average during any 10-, 25-, 50-, 100-, or 500-year period (recurrence interval) have been selected as having special significance for floodplain management. These events, commonly termed the 10-, 25-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year floods, have a 10-, 4-, 2-, 1-, and 0.2-percent-annual-chance, respectively, of being equaled or exceeded during any year.

Although the recurrence interval represents the long-term, average period between floods of a specific magnitude, rare floods could occur at short intervals or even within the same year. The risk of experiencing a rare flood increases when periods greater than 1 year are considered. For example, the risk of having a flood that equals or exceeds the 100-year flood (1-percent chance of annual exceedance) during the term of a 30-year mortgage is approximately 26 percent (about 3 in 10); for any 90-year period, the risk increases to approximately 60 percent (6 in 10). The analyses reported herein reflect flooding potentials based on conditions existing in the community at the time of completion of this study. Maps and flood elevations will be amended periodically to reflect future changes.

5.1 Hydrologic Analyses

Hydrologic analyses were carried out to establish the peak elevation-frequency relationships for floods of the selected recurrence intervals for each flooding source studied. Hydrologic analyses are typically performed at the watershed level. Depending on factors such as watershed size and shape, land use and urbanization, and natural or man-made storage, various models or methodologies may be applied. A summary of the hydrologic methods applied to develop the discharges used in the hydraulic analyses for each stream is provided in Table 12. Greater detail (including assumptions, analysis, and results) is available in the archived project documentation.

Stream gage information is provided in Table 11.

Table 9: Summary of Discharges

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

Figure 7: Frequency Discharge-Drainage Area Curves

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

Table 10: Summary of Non-Coastal Stillwater Elevation

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

Table 11: Stream Gage Information used to Determine Discharges

Flooding Source	Gage Identifier	Agency that Maintains Gage	Site Name	Drainage Area (Square Miles)	Period of Record	
					From	To
Trinity River	08064570	USGS	Trinity Rv at Hwy 287 nr Cayuda, TX	1930	06/06/2017	08/28/2022
Trinity River	08065000	USGS	Trinity Rv nr Oakwood, Tx	2917	01/01/1890	08/29/2022
Tehuacana Creek	08064700	USGS	Tehuacana Ck nr Streetman, TX	2917	03/16/1969	02/03/2022

5.2 Hydraulic Analyses

Analyses of the hydraulic characteristics of flooding from the sources studied were carried out to provide estimates of the elevations of floods of the selected recurrence intervals. Base flood elevations on the FIRM represent the elevations shown on the Flood Profiles and in the Floodway Data tables in the FIS Report. Rounded whole-foot elevations may be shown on the FIRM in coastal areas, areas of ponding, and other areas with static base flood elevations. These whole-foot elevations may not exactly reflect the elevations derived from the hydraulic analyses. For construction and/or floodplain management purposes, users are cautioned to use the flood elevation data presented in this FIS Report in conjunction with the data shown on the FIRM.

A summary of the methods used in hydraulic analyses performed for this project is provided in Table 12. Roughness coefficients are provided in Table 13. Roughness coefficients are values representing the frictional resistance water experiences when passing overland or through a channel. They are used in the calculations to determine water surface elevations. Greater detail (including assumptions, analysis, and results) is available in the archived project documentation.

Table 12: Summary of Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analyses

Flooding Source	Study Limits Downstream Limit	Study Limits Upstream Limit	Hydrologic Model or Method Used	Hydraulic Model or Method Used	Date Analyses Completed	Flood Zone on FIRM	Special Considerations
All Zone A within Lower Trinity-Tehuacana	All within Lower Trinity-Tehuacana Watershed	All within Lower Trinity-Tehuacana Watershed	Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 3.1.0	02/01/2021	A	BLE hydraulic modeling
All Zone A within Navasota	All within Navasota Watershed	All within Navasota Watershed	Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 3.1.0	01/01/2021	A	BLE hydraulic modeling
All Zone A within Richland	All within Richland Watershed	All within Richland Watershed	Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 3.1.0	03/01/2017	A	BLE hydraulic modeling
All Zone A within Upper Trinity	All within Upper Trinity Watershed	All within Upper Trinity Watershed	Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 5.0	02/01/2022	A	BLE hydraulic modeling

Table 13: Roughness Coefficients

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

5.3 Coastal Analyses

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

Table 14: Summary of Coastal Analyses

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

5.3.1 Total Stillwater Elevations

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

Figure 8: 1% Annual Chance Total Stillwater Elevations for Coastal Areas

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

Table 15: Tide Gage Analysis Specifics

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

5.3.2 Waves

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

5.3.3 Coastal Erosion

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

5.3.4 Wave Hazard Analyses

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

Table 16: Coastal Transect Parameters

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

Figure 9: Transect Location Map

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

5.4 Alluvial Fan Analyses

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

Table 17: Summary of Alluvial Fan Analyses

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

Table 18: Results of Alluvial Fan Analyses

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

SECTION 6.0 – MAPPING METHODS

6.1 Vertical and Horizontal Control

All FIS Reports and FIRMs are referenced to a specific vertical datum. The vertical datum provides a starting point against which flood, ground, and structure elevations can be referenced and compared. Until recently, the standard vertical datum used for newly created or revised FIS Reports and FIRMs was the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD29). With the completion of the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88), many FIS Reports and FIRMs are now prepared using NAVD88 as the referenced vertical datum.

Flood elevations shown in this FIS Report and on the FIRMs are referenced to NAVD88. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between NGVD29 and NAVD88 or other datum conversion, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at www.ngs.noaa.gov.

Temporary vertical monuments are often established during the preparation of a flood hazard analysis for the purpose of establishing local vertical control. Although these monuments are not shown on the FIRM, they may be found in the archived project documentation associated with the FIS Report and the FIRMs for this community. Interested individuals may contact FEMA to access these data.

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for benchmarks in the area, please visit the NGS website at www.ngs.noaa.gov.

The datum conversion locations and values that were calculated for Freestone County are provided in Table 19.

Table 19: Countywide Vertical Datum Conversion

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

Table 20: Stream-Based Vertical Datum Conversion

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

6.2 Base Map

The FIRMs and FIS Report for this project have been produced in a digital format. The flood hazard information was converted to a Geographic Information System (GIS) format that meets FEMA's FIRM Database specifications and geographic information standards. This information is provided in a digital format so that it can be incorporated into a local GIS and be accessed more easily by the community. The FIRM Database includes most of the tabular information contained in the FIS Report in such a way that the data can be associated with pertinent spatial features. For example, the information contained in the Floodway Data table and Flood Profiles can be linked to the cross sections that are shown on the FIRMs. Additional information about the FIRM Database and

its contents can be found in FEMA's *Guidelines and Standards for Flood Risk Analysis and Mapping*, www.fema.gov/flood-maps/guidance-partners/guidelines-standards.

Base map information shown on the FIRM was derived from the sources described in Table 21.

Table 21: Base Map Sources

Data Type	Data Provider	Data Date	Data Scale	Data Description
Texas County Boundaries	TxDOT	2022	1:24000	S_Pol_Ar
Texas Railroads	TxDOT	2023	1:24000	S_Transport_Ln
TxDOT City Boundaries	TxDOT	2023	1:24000	S_Pol_Ar
TxDOT Roadways	TxDOT	2023	1:24000	S_Transport_Ln
TIGER/Line Shapefile, state, Texas, Current Place State-based	Department of Commerce	2017	1:24000	S_Pol_Ar
Stream Gage Data for Freestone County, Texas	USGS	2021	1:24000	S_Gage

6.3 Floodplain and Floodway Delineation

The FIRM shows tints, screens, and symbols to indicate floodplains and floodways as well as the locations of selected cross sections used in the hydraulic analyses and floodway computations.

For riverine flooding sources, the mapped floodplain boundaries shown on the FIRM have been delineated using the flood elevations determined at each cross section; between cross sections, the boundaries were interpolated using the topographic elevation data described in Table 22.

In cases where the 1-percent and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries are close together, only the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundary has been shown. Small areas within the floodplain boundaries may lie above the flood elevations but cannot be shown due to limitations of the map scale and/or lack of detailed topographic data.

The floodway widths presented in this FIS Report and on the FIRM were computed for certain stream segments on the basis of equal conveyance reduction from each side of the floodplain. Floodway widths were computed at cross sections. Between cross sections, the floodway boundaries were interpolated. Table 2 indicates the flooding sources for which floodways have been determined. The results of the floodway computations for those flooding sources have been tabulated for selected cross sections and are shown in Table 23, "Floodway Data

Table 22: Summary of Topographic Elevation Data used in Mapping

Community	Flooding Source	Source for Topographic Elevation Data			
		Description	Vertical Accuracy	Horizontal Accuracy	Citation
Fairfield City of; Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas; Oakwood, City of; Streetman, City of; Teague, City of; Wortham, City of;	Lower Trinity-Tehuacana	LiDAR	24.5 cm RMSEz	24.5 cm at the 95% confidence level	USGS 2017
Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas; Teague, City of	Navasota	LiDAR	14.6 cm RMSEz	14.6cm at the 95% confidence level	FEMA/USGS 2017
Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas	Richland	LiDAR	3.77 cm RMSEz	12.5 cm at the 95% confidence level	TNRIS 2017
Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas	Upper Trinity	LiDAR	14.6 cm RMSEz	N/A	TNRIS 2009

BFEs shown at cross sections on the FIRM represent the 1-percent-annual-chance water surface elevations shown on the Flood Profiles and in the Floodway Data tables in the FIS Report.

Table 23: Floodway Data

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

Table 24: Flood Hazard and Non-Encroachment Data for Selected Streams

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

6.4 Coastal Flood Hazard Mapping

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project

Table 25: Summary of Coastal Transect Mapping Considerations

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

6.5 FIRM Revisions

This FIS Report and the FIRM are based on the most up-to-date information available to FEMA at the time of its publication; however, flood hazard conditions change over time. Communities or private parties may request flood map revisions at any time. Certain types of requests require submission of supporting data. FEMA may also initiate a revision. Revisions may take several forms, including Letters of Map Amendment (LOMAs), Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill (LOMR-Fs), Letters of Map Revision (LOMRs) (referred to collectively as Letters of Map Change (LOMCs)), Physical Map Revisions (PMRs), and FEMA-contracted restudies. These types of revisions are further described below. Some of these types of revisions do not result in the republishing of the FIS Report. To assure that any user is aware of all revisions, it is advisable to contact the community repository of flood-hazard data (shown in Table 30, "Map Repositories").

6.5.1 Letters of Map Amendment

A LOMA is an official revision by letter to an effective NFIP map. A LOMA results from an administrative process that involves the review of scientific or technical data submitted by the owner or lessee of property who believes the property has incorrectly been included in a designated SFHA. A LOMA amends the currently effective FEMA map and establishes that a specific property is not located in a SFHA.

To obtain an application for a LOMA, visit www.fema.gov/flood-maps/change-your-flood-zone and download the form "MT-1 Application Forms and Instructions for Conditional and Final Letters of Map Amendment and Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill". Visit the "Flood Map-Related Fees" section to determine the cost, if any, of applying for a LOMA.

FEMA offers a tutorial on how to apply for a LOMA. The LOMA Tutorial Series can be accessed at www.fema.gov/flood-maps/tutorials.

For more information about how to apply for a LOMA, call the FEMA Mapping and Insurance eXchange; toll free, at 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627).

6.5.2 Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill

A LOMR-F is an official revision by letter to an effective NFIP map. A LOMR-F states FEMA's determination concerning whether a structure or parcel has been elevated on fill above the base flood elevation and is, therefore, excluded from the SFHA.

Information about obtaining an application for a LOMR-F can be obtained in the same manner as that for a LOMA, by visiting www.fema.gov/flood-maps/change-your-flood-zone for the "MT-1 Application Forms and Instructions for Conditional and Final Letters of Map Amendment and Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill" or by calling the FEMA Mapping and Insurance eXchange, toll free, at 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627). Fees for applying for a LOMR-F, if any, are listed in the "Flood Map-Related Fees" section.

A tutorial for LOMR-F is available at www.fema.gov/flood-maps/tutorials.

6.5.3 Letters of Map Revision

A LOMR is an official revision to the currently effective FEMA map. It is used to change flood zones, floodplain and floodway delineations, flood elevations and planimetric features. All requests for LOMRs should be made to FEMA through the chief executive officer of the community, since it is the community that must adopt any changes and revisions to the map. If the request for a LOMR is not submitted through the chief executive officer of the community, evidence must be submitted that the community has been notified of the request.

To obtain an application for a LOMR, visit www.fema.gov/flood-maps/change-your-flood-zone and download the form "MT-2 Application Forms and Instructions for Conditional Letters of Map Revision and Letters of Map Revision". Visit the "Flood Map-Related Fees" section to determine the cost of applying for a LOMR. For more information about how to apply for a LOMR, call the FEMA Mapping and Insurance eXchange; toll free, at 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627) to speak to a Map Specialist.

Previously issued mappable LOMCs (including LOMRs) that have been incorporated into the Freestone County FIRM are listed in Table 26. Please note that this table only includes LOMCs that have been issued on the FIRM panels updated by this map revision. For all other areas within this county, users should be aware that revisions to the FIS Report made by prior LOMRs may not be reflected herein and users will need to continue to use the previously issued LOMRs to obtain the most current data.

Table 26: Incorporated Letters of Map Change

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

6.5.4 Physical Map Revisions

A Physical Map Revisions (PMR) is an official republication of a community's NFIP map to effect changes to base flood elevations, floodplain boundary delineations, regulatory floodways and planimetric features. These changes typically occur as a result of structural works or improvements, annexations resulting in additional flood hazard areas or correction to base flood elevations or SFHAs.

The community's chief executive officer must submit scientific and technical data to

FEMA to support the request for a PMR. The data will be analyzed and the map will be revised if warranted. The community is provided with copies of the revised information and is afforded a review period. When the base flood elevations are changed, a 90-day appeal period is provided. A 6-month adoption period for formal approval of the revised map(s) is also provided.

For more information about the PMR process, please visit www.fema.gov and visit the Floods & Maps "Change Your Flood Zone Designation" section.

6.5.5 Contracted Restudies

The NFIP provides for a periodic review and restudy of flood hazards within a given community. FEMA accomplishes this through a national watershed-based mapping needs assessment strategy, known as the Coordinated Needs Management Strategy (CNMS). The CNMS is used by FEMA to assign priorities and allocate funding for new flood hazard analyses used to update the FIS Report and FIRM. The goal of CNMS is to define the validity of the engineering study data within a mapped inventory. The CNMS is used to track the assessment process, document engineering gaps and their resolution, and aid in prioritization for using flood risk as a key factor for areas identified for flood map updates. Visit www.fema.gov to learn more about the CNMS or contact the FEMA Regional Office listed in Section 8 of this FIS Report.

6.5.6 Community Map History

The current FIRM presents flooding information for the entire geographic area of Freestone County. Previously, separate FIRMs, Flood Hazard Boundary Maps (FHBMs) and/or Flood Boundary and Floodway Maps (FBFMs) may have been prepared for the incorporated communities and the unincorporated areas in the county that had identified SFHAs. Current and historical data relating to the maps prepared for the project area are presented in Table 27, "Community Map History." A description of each of the column headings and the source of the date is also listed below.

- *Community Name* includes communities falling within the geographic area shown on the FIRM, including those that fall on the boundary line, nonparticipating communities, and communities with maps that have been rescinded. Communities with No Special Flood Hazards are indicated by a footnote. If all maps (FHBM, FBFM, and FIRM) were rescinded for a community, it is not listed in this table unless SFHAs have been identified in this community.

- *Initial Identification Date (First NFIP Map Published)* is the date of the first NFIP map that identified flood hazards in the community. If the FHBM has been converted to a FIRM, the initial FHBM date is shown. If the community has never been mapped, the upcoming effective date or "pending" (for Preliminary FIS Reports) is shown. If the community is listed in Table 27 but not identified on the map, the community is treated as if it were unmapped.

- *Initial FHBM Effective Date* is the effective date of the first FHBM. This date may be the same date as the Initial NFIP Map Date.

- *FHBM Revision Date(s)* is the date(s) that the FHBM was revised, if applicable.

- *Initial FIRM Effective Date* is the date of the first effective FIRM for the community.

- *FIRM Revision Date(s)* is the date(s) the FIRM was revised, if applicable. This is the revised date that is shown on the FIRM panel, if applicable. As countywide studies are completed or revised, each community listed should have its FIRM dates updated accordingly to reflect the date of the countywide study. Once the FIRMs exist in countywide format, as PMRs of FIRM panels within the county are completed, the FIRM Revision Dates in the table for each community affected by the PMR are updated with the date of the PMR, even if the PMR did not revise all the panels within that community.

The initial effective date for the Freestone County FIRMs in countywide format was 6/10/2026.

Table 27: Community Map History

Community Name	Initial Identification Date	Initial FHBM Effective Date	FHBM Revision Date(s)	Initial FIRM Effective Date	FIRM Revision Date(s)
Fairfield, City of	8/13/1976	8/13/1976	N/A	3/1/1990	6/10/2026
Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas	1/3/1978	1/3/1978	N/A	9/1/2007	6/10/2026
Kirvin, Town of[1]	06/10/2026	N/A	N/A	6/10/2026	N/A
Oakwood, City of[2]	5/24/1974	5/24/1974	N/A	11/20/2013	6/10/2026
Streetman, City of[3]	5/6/1977	5/6/1977	N/A	6/5/2012	6/10/2026
Teague, City of	02/06/1976	02/06/1976	N/A	6/10/2026	N/A
Wortham, City of	10/29/1976	10/29/1976	N/A	9/4/1985	6/10/2026

[1] No Special Flood Hazard Areas Identified
[2] Initial dates taken from Leon County, TX.
[3] Initial dates taken from Navarro County , TX

SECTION 7.0 – CONTRACTED STUDIES AND COMMUNITY COORDINATION

7.1 Contracted Studies

Table 28 provides a summary of the contracted studies, by flooding source, that are included in this FIS Report.

Table 28: Summary of Contracted Studies Included in this FIS Report

Flooding Source	FIS Report Dated	Contractor	Number	Work Completed Date	Affected Communities
All Zone A flooding within Lower Lower Trinity-Tehuacana	6/10/2026	Compass PTV JV	HSFE60-15-D-0003	February 2021	Fairfield, City of; Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas; Oakwood, City of; Teague, City of; Streetman, City of; Wortham, City of;
All Zone A flooding within Navasota	6/10/2026	Compass PTV JV	HSFE60-15-D-0003	March 2017	Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas; Teague, City of
All Zone A flooding within Richland	6/10/2026	Compass PTV JV	HSFE60-15-D-0003	January 2021	Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas
All Zone A flooding within Upper Trinity	6/10/2026	Compass PTV JV	HSFE60-15-D-0003	February 2022	Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas

7.2 Community Meetings

The dates of the community meetings held for this Flood Risk Project and previous Flood Risk Projects are shown in Table 29. These meetings may have previously been referred to by a variety of names (Community Coordination Officer (CCO), Scoping, Discovery, etc.), but all meetings represent opportunities for FEMA, community officials, study contractors, and other invited guests to discuss the planning for and results of the project.

Table 29: Community Meetings

Community	FIS Report Dated	Date of Meeting	Meeting Type	Attended By
Fairfield, City of	6/10/2026	7/25/2023	Scoping	Compass, and the City of Fairfield
		9/10/2024	CCO	
Freestone County Unincorporated Areas	6/10/2026	7/25/2023	Scoping	Compass, and the City of Fairfield
		9/10/2024	CCO	
Kirvin, Town of	6/10/2026	7/25/2023	Scoping	Compass, and the Town of Kirvin
		9/10/2024	CCO	
Oakwood, City of;	6/10/2026	7/25/2023	Scoping	Compass, and the City of Oakwood
		9/10/2024	CCO	
Streetman, City of	6/10/2026	7/25/2023	Scoping	Compass, and the City of Streetman
		9/10/2024	CCO	
Teague, City of	6/10/2026	7/25/2023	Scoping	Compass, and the City of Teague
		9/10/2024	CCO	
Wortham, City of	6/10/2026	7/25/2023	Scoping	Compass, and the City of Wortham
		9/10/2024	CCO	

SECTION 8.0 – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Information concerning the pertinent data used in the preparation of this FIS Report can be obtained by submitting an order with any required payment to the FEMA Engineering Library. For more information on this process, see www.fema.gov.

Table 30 is a list of the locations where FIRMs for Freestone County can be viewed. Please note that the maps at these locations are for reference only and are not for distribution. Also, please note that only the maps for the community listed in the table are available at that particular repository. A user may need to visit another repository to view maps from an adjacent community.

Table 30: Map Repositories

Community	Address	City	State	Zip Code
Fairfield, City of	City Hall 527 E Commerce St	Fairfield	TX	75840
Freestone County, Unincorporated Areas	Freestone County Courthouse 118 East Commerce Street Room 205	Fairfield	TX	75840
Kirvin, Town of[1]	Freestone County Courthouse 118 East Commerce Street Room 205	Fairfield	TX	75840
Oakwood, City of	City Hall 135 East Broad Street	Oakwood	TX	75855
Streetman, City of	City Hall 204 East Main St	Streetman	TX	75859
Teague, City of	City Hall 105 South 4th Ave	Teague	TX	75860
Wortham, City of	Town Hall 108 W Main Ave	Wortham	TX	76693

[1] No Special Flood Hazard Areas Identified

The National Flood Hazard Layer (NFHL) dataset is a compilation of effective FIRM Databases and LOMCs. Together they create a GIS data layer for a State or Territory. The NFHL is updated as studies become effective and extracts are made available to the public monthly. NFHL data can be viewed or ordered from the website shown in Table 31.

Table 31 contains useful contact information regarding the FIS Report, the FIRM, and other relevant flood hazard and GIS data. In addition, information about the State NFIP Coordinator and GIS Coordinator is shown in this table. At the request of FEMA, each Governor has designated an agency of State or territorial government to coordinate that State's or territory's NFIP activities. These agencies often assist communities in developing and adopting necessary floodplain management measures. State GIS Coordinators are knowledgeable about the availability and location of State and local GIS data in their state.

Table 31: Additional Information

FEMA and the NFIP	
FEMA and FEMA Engineering Library website	www.fema.gov/flood-maps/products-tools/know-your-risk/engineers-surveyors-architects
NFIP website	www.fema.gov/flood-insurance
NFHL Dataset	msc.fema.gov
FEMA Region VI	Federal Regional Center 800 North Loop 288 Denton, TX 76201-3698 (940) 898-5399
Other Federal Agencies	
USGS website	www.usgs.gov
Hydraulic Engineering Center website	www.hec.usace.army.mil
State Agencies and Organizations	
State NFIP Coordinator	Richie Hernandez Texas Water Development Board 1700 N. Congress Ave. Austin, TX 78701 512-656-6081 richie.hernandez@twdb.texas.gov
State GIS Coordinator	Mike Ouimet Unit Chief - Operations Technology 300 West 15th Street P.O. Box 13564 Austin, Texas, 78711-3564 Phone: (512) 305-9076 Email: Michael.Ouimet@dir.state.tx.us

SECTION 9.0 – BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES

Table 32 includes sources used in the preparation of and cited in this FIS Report as well as additional studies that have been conducted in the study area.

Table 32: Bibliography and References

Citation in this FIS	Publisher/ Issuer	*Publication Title,* "Article," Volume, Number, etc.	Author/Editor	Place of Publication	Publication Date/ Date of Issuance	Link
Compass. 2017	Compass PTS JV	*Richland Watershed, TX BLE*	Compass PTS JV	Arlington, VA	March 2017	https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/estBFE/
Compass, 2020	Compass PTS JV	*Lower Trinity-Tehuacana Watershed, TX BLE*	Compass PTS JV	Arlington, VA	February 2021	https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/estBFE/
Compass, 2021	Compass PTS JV	*Navasota, TX BLE*	Compass PTS JV	Arlington, VA	January 2021	https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/estBFE/
Compass, 2022	Compass PTS JV	*Upper Trinity, TX BLE*	Compass PTS JV	Arlington, VA	February 2022	https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/estBFE/
Compass, 2023	Compass PTS JV	*Freestone County PIR*	Compass PTS JV	Arlington, VA	6/10/2026	
FEMA, USGS 2017	U.S. Geological Survey	2017 FEMA LiDAR, Robertson, Freestone, Brazos Counties, TX	USGS	Reston, VA	February 2017	https://www.usgs.gov/
TNRIS, 2009	Texas Natural Resources Information System	2009 Dallas County LIDAR	TNRIS	Dallas, TX	March 2009	https://tnris.org/
TNRIS, 2017	Texas Natural Resources Information System	2017 Richland-Chambers Reservoir TNRIS LIDAR DATA	Texas Department of Transportation	Reston, VA	2017	https://tnris.org/
TXDOT, 2022	Texas Department of Transportation	*Texas County Boundaries*	Texas Department of Transportation	Austin, Texas	May 2022	https://gis-txdot.opendata.arcgis.com/
TXDOT, 2023	Texas Department of Transportation	*TxDOT City Boundaries*	Texas Department of Transportation	Austin, Texas	January 2023	https://gis-txdot.opendata.arcgis.com/

Citation in this FIS	Publisher/ Issuer	*Publication Title,* "Article," Volume, Number, etc.	Author/Editor	Place of Publication	Publication Date/ Date of Issuance	Link
TXDOT, 2023b	Texas Department of Transportation	*TxDOT Roadways*	Texas Department of Transportation	Austin, Texas	April 2023	https://gis-txdot.opendata.arcgis.com/
TXDOT, 2023c	Texas Department of Transportation	*Texas Railroads*	Texas Department of Transportation	Austin, Texas	January 26, 2023	https://gis-txdot.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/TXDOT::texas-railroads/explore?location=30.821450%2C-100.077132%2C6.93
U.S. Census Bureau, 2023	Department of Commerce	*TIGER/Line Shapefile, state, Texas, Current Place State-based*	Department of Commerce	Washington, DC	2023	https://catalog.data.gov/dataset/tiger-line-shapefile-current-state-texas-place
USGS, 2017	U.S. Geological Survey	*2017 USGS LiDAR (Brazos, Freestone, & Robertson)*	USGS	Reston, VA	February 2017	https://www.usgs.gov/
USGS 2021	U.S. Geological Survey	*Stream Gage Data for Freestone County, Texas*	USGS	Reston, VA	January 2021	https://dashboard.waterdata.usgs.gov/